



Research Article

Maternal and neonatal outcomes among pregnant women with different polycystic ovary syndrome phenotypes: A cross-sectional study

Akramsadat Dehghani Firoozabadi¹ M.D., Razieh Dehghani Firouzabadi² M.D., Maryam Eftekhar^{2,3} M.D., Afsar Sadat Tabatabaei Bafghi¹ M.D., Farimah Shamsi¹ Ph.D.

¹Shahid Sadougui Hospital, Shahid Sadougui University of Medical Sciences, and Health Services, Yazd, Iran.

²Research and Clinical Centre for Infertility, Yazd Reproductive Sciences Institute, Shahid Sadougui University of Medical Sciences and Health Services, Yazd, Iran.

³Abortion Research Center, Yazd Reproductive Sciences Institute, Shahid Sadougui University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran.

Razieh Dehghani Firouzabadi and Maryam Eftekhar are co-corresponding authors.

Corresponding Authors:

Razieh Dehghani Firouzabadi, Yazd Reproductive Sciences Institute, BouAli Av., Safaeyeh, Yazd, Iran.

Postal Code: 8916877391
Tel: (+98) 9131514236
Email: dr.firouz@yahoo.com

Maryam Eftekhar, Yazd Reproductive Sciences Institute, BouAli Av., Safaeyeh, Yazd, Iran.

Postal Code: 8916877391
Tel: (+98) 9131563078
Email: eftekharmaryam@yahoo.com

Received 7 July 2019
Revised 24 October 2019
Accepted 26 October 2019

Production and Hosting by Knowledge E

© Dehghani Firoozabadi *et al.* This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited.

Editor-in-Chief:
Aflatoonian Abbas M.D.

Abstract

Background: Pregnancy is a process associated with various metabolic and hormonal changes, and polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) can affect this process.

Objective: This study aimed to evaluate and compare the maternal and neonatal outcomes among pregnant women with different polycystic ovary syndrome phenotypes.

Materials and Methods: In this cross-sectional study, 200 pregnant women with PCOS according to the 2003 ESHRE/ASRM criteria were categorized into four phenotype groups (A-D). The maternal outcomes include gestational diabetes mellitus, pregnancy-induced hypertension, premature rupture of membranes, preterm labor, small-for-gestational age birth, intrauterine growth restriction, intrauterine mortality, preeclampsia, abortion, amniotic fluid disorders, delivery method, and cause of cesarean section were studied between groups. Additionally, neonatal outcomes such as neonatal weight, neonatal recovery, 5-min Apgar score, neonatal icter, the need for NICU admission, the cause of hospitalization, and infant mortality rate were investigated and compared among the groups.

Results: According to the results, phenotype D (37%) was the most common phenotype among the participants. The risk of gestational diabetes was more common in phenotype A than in the other phenotypes, whereas pregnancy-induced hypertension was most common in phenotype B. No significant differences were observed in the neonatal complications among the PCOS phenotypes.

Conclusion: Considering the higher risk of gestational diabetes mellitus and pregnancy-induced hypertension in PCOS phenotypes A and B, women with these phenotypes need more precise prenatal care.

Key words: Pregnancy outcome, Polycystic ovary syndrome, Phenotype, Pregnancy.

 OPEN ACCESS

1. Introduction

Pregnancy is a process associated with various metabolic and hormonal changes, and the onset of polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) can affect this process (1). According to the World Health Organization (2012), women with PCOS are at risk of prenatal complications during pregnancy as well as most pregnancy pathologies, including gestational diabetes mellitus, glucose tolerance disorders, pregnancy-induced hypertension, preeclampsia, fetal growth restriction, preterm delivery, and small for gestational age (2). Previous studies have also reported an increased risk of morbidity and hospitalization in the neonatal intensive care unit in pregnant women with PCOS (3, 4). Some reports have suggested an increase in the incidence of spontaneous abortion and preterm delivery for these women compared with healthy pregnant women (1, 5-8). Due to the importance of pregnancy in these women, especially those with infertility, as well as their phenotypic differences and the limited information available on their pregnancy outcomes, this study was designed to evaluate and compare the maternal and neonatal outcomes among pregnant women with different PCOS phenotypes.

2. Materials and Methods

This cross-sectional study was performed on 200 pregnant women under 40 yr old with a history of PCOS according to the ESHRE/ASRM criteria (Rotterdam) (9) who were referred to the obstetrics and gynecology department of Shahid Sadoughi Hospital, Yazd, Iran, between 2017 and 2018.

The exclusion criteria included uterine abnormalities, other causes of hyperandrogenism such as congenital adrenal hyperplasia; malignant ovarian tumors; androgen-secreting tumors;

Cushing disease; hyperprolactinemia; chronic diseases such as lupus, kidney, and liver disease; diabetes mellitus; and cigarette, drug, and alcohol use.

Based on the Rotterdam criteria (10), the participants were categorized into four PCOS phenotype groups from the beginning of the prenatal period to delivery:

Type A: Having symptoms of hyperandrogenism, chronic ovulation, and polycystic ovaries

Type B: Having symptoms of hyperandrogenism and chronic anovulation

Type C: Having symptoms of hyperandrogenism and polycystic ovaries

Type D: Having symptoms of chronic ovulation and polycystic ovaries

The participants' basic characteristics, e.g., age, gravidity, parity, history of abortion and infertility, occupation, history of surgery, use of assisted reproductive techniques, and gestational age, also their maternal outcomes, e.g., gestational diabetes mellitus and hypertension, premature rupture of membranes, preterm labor, small for gestational age, intrauterine growth restriction, intrauterine fetal death, preeclampsia, abortion, amniotic fluid disorders, delivery method, and cause of cesarean section, were recorded. Neonatal information, including weight, neonatal recovery, 5-min Apgar score, neonatal icter, the need for admission, the cause of hospitalization, and the infant mortality rate were recorded in a neonatal complications questionnaire.

2.1. Ethical consideration

The Ethics Committee of the Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran, approved the proposal of this research (Code:

IR.SSU.MEDICINE.REC.1397.114). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

2.2. Statistical analysis

Considering a 95% confidence interval, a power of 80%, the frequency of phenotype B (16%) in the participants as the lowest frequency, and a 10% error, a sample size of 200 was determined using the following formula:

$$N = \frac{(Z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} + Z_{1-\beta})^2 \times [P(1 - P)]}{d^2}$$

All data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, U.S.A), version 17.0. For the quantitative and qualitative variables, the mean \pm standard deviation and the frequency and rate, respectively, were measured and investigated via the Pearson correlation coefficient and Spearman's correlation coefficient tests. A p-value <0.05 was considered significant.

3. Results

In this study, 200 pregnant women with PCOS were studied into four phenotype groups (A-D). Two participants from group D were excluded due to unintended pregnancy and the use of abortion induction. Thus, the final study population consisted of 198 pregnant women with a mean age of 29.2 ± 7.7 year. Group D with 37% and group B with 14% had the highest and lowest frequencies, respectively (Table I).

The participants' demographic characteristics are presented in Table II. There was no difference in maternal age ($p = 0.32$) among the four groups. In group A, the history of abortion (48.9%) and infertility (51.1%) was higher than in the other groups; this difference was significant for

the infertility history ($p = 0.007$) (Table II). The miscarriage rate did not differ statistically in study groups. 37 pregnancies resulted in miscarriage. Maternal outcomes was examined only in cases of ongoing pregnancy.

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) was evaluated via two methods: fasting blood sugar (FBS) measurement and an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT). Our results showed that the rate of GDM was significantly different among the four groups. In addition, group A had a higher abnormal OGTT than the other groups. The highest GDM was associated with phenotype A and the lowest with phenotype D. The FBS comparison among the study groups showed a statistically significant difference between groups ($p = 0.035$).

Groups B (36.8%) and A (28.57%) had the highest blood pressure levels among the groups ($p = 0.001$), whereas group C had the lowest level of gestational hypertension (0%). The groups did not differ significantly in terms of preeclampsia ($p = 0.08$); however, the rate of preeclampsia was higher in groups B and A than in the other groups. The groups were similar regarding the other maternal outcomes, including amniotic fluid, abortion, perinatal outcomes, term, and preterm deliveries, delivery type, and pregnancy termination method. One case of stillbirth was observed in group A, and, overall, the rate of cesarean section in all groups was higher than normal vaginal delivery (Table III).

11 cases of multiple pregnancies were reported that did not differ statistically between groups (Table II). Neonatal outcome was evaluated only on single pregnancies. According to the obtained results, there was no significant difference in the neonatal outcomes among the groups, including the 5-min Apgar score, birth weight, neonatal icter, and frequency of anomalies in newborns (Table IV).

Table I. Frequency distribution of PCOS phenotypes in the study participants (n = 198)

Phenotypes	Frequency (n)	Percent (%)
A	45	23
B	27	14
C	52	26
D	74	37

Table II. Comparison of demographic characteristics among the study groups

Variables	Group A (n = 45)	Group B (n = 27)	Group C (n = 52)	Group D (n = 74)	P-value
Age (mean \pm SD) (year)	28.7 \pm 5.2	28.7 \pm 2.9	28.5 \pm 4.9	30 \pm 4.7	0.32*
History of abortion n (%)	22 (48.9)	11 (40.7)	12 (23.1)	26 (35.9)	0.63**
History of infertility n (%) **	23 (51.1)	6 (22.2)	13 (25)	18 (24.3)	0.007**
Abortion	10 (22.22)	8 (29.62)	8 (15.38)	11 (14.86)	0.156**
Pregnancy method n (%)					
Natural	34 (75.6)	23 (85.2)	43 (82.7)	62 (83.8)	0.44**
Medication	8 (17.8)	4 (14.8)	7 (13.5)	8 (10.8)	
IUI	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (3.8)	1 (1.4)	
ART	3 (6.7)	0 (0)	0 (0)	3 (4.1)	
Type of pregnancy n (%)					
Singleton	31/35 (88.57)	19/19 (100.00)	41/44 (93.2)	59/63 (93.2)	0.50**
Twin	3 (8.6)	0 (0.00)	3 (6.8)	4 (6.3)	
Triplet	1 (2.2)	0 (0.00)	0 (0)	0 (0)	

*ANOVA test, **Chi-squar test

Table III. Comparison of maternal outcomes in study groups ongoing pregnancies according to phenotype

Maternal outcomes / Ongoing pregnancy	Group A (n = 35)	Group B (n = 19)	Group C (n = 44)	Group D (n = 63)	P-value
FBS (mean \pm SD)	93.65 \pm 15.23	89.21 \pm 9.80	86.38 \pm 12.23	87.31 \pm 9.51	0.035 *
Abnormal GTT n (%)	18/35 (51.42)	6/19 (31.57)	13/44 (29.54)	13/63 (20.63)	0.018**
GDM n (%)	18/35 (51.42)	6/19 (31.57)	14/44 (31.81)	14/63 (22.22)	0.032**
Gestational hypertension n (%)	10/35 (28.57)	7/19 (36.84)	0/44 (0)	9/63 (14.28)	<0.001**
Preeclampsia n (%)	6/35 (17.1)	5/19 (26.3)	3/44 (6.8)	5/63 (7.9)	0.08**
Amniotic fluid n (%)					0.62**
Normal	32/35 (91.4)	17/19(89.5)	41/44 (93.2)	60/63 (95.23)	
Oligohydramnios	1/35 (2.9)	1/19 (5.3)	3/44 (6.8)	2/63 (3.17)	
Polyhydramnios	2/35 (5.7)	1/19(5.3)	0/44 (0)	1/63 (1.58)	
PROM n (%)	3/35 (8.6)	2/19 (10.5)	1/44 (2.3)	4/63 (6.3)	0.54**
Perinatal outcomes n (%)					
Live births	34/35 (97.1)	19/19 (100)	44/44 (100)	63/63 (100)	0.30**
Still birth	1/35 (2.9)	0/19 (0)	0/44 (0)	0/63 (0)	
Type of delivery n (%)					
Term	22/35 (62.85)	12/19 (63.15)	37/44 (84.09)	48/63 (76.19)	0.08**
Preterm	13/35 (37.14)	7/19 (36.84)	7/44 (15.90)	15/63 (23.80)	
Pregnancy termination method n (%)					
NVD	14/35 (40)	7/19 (36.8)	21/44 (47.7)	30/63(47.61)	0.76**
Cesarean section	21/35 (60)	12/19 (63.2)	23/44 (52.3)	33/63 (52.38)	

FBS: Fasting blood sugar; GTT: Glucose tolerance test; GDM: Gestational diabetes mellitus; PROM: Premature rupture of membranes; NVD: Normal vaginal delivery.

*ANOVA test; **Chi-square test

Table IV. Neonatal outcomes in pregnant women with different PCOS phenotypes

Variables/Single pregnancy	Group A (n = 30)	Group B (n = 19)	Group C (n = 41)	Group D (n = 59)	P-value*
5-min apgar score (mean±SD)	9.63 ± 0.88	9.57 ± 1.01	9.63 ± 0.86	9.83 ± 0.56	0.463
Neonatal birth weight					
AGA	26/30 (86.66)	16/19 (84.21)	35/41 (85.36)	54/59 (91.52)	0.733
Severe IUGR	2/30 (6.66)	1/19 (5.26)	3/41 (7.31)	0/59 (0)	
SGA	0/30 (0)	1/19 (5.26)	1/41 (2.43)	1/59 (1.69)	
Macrosomia	2/30 (6.66)	1/19 (5.26)	2/41 (4.87)	4/59 (6.78)	
Neonatal icter n (%)	17/30 (56.66)	8/19 (42.10)	14/41 (34.14)	30/59 (50.84)	0.225
Neonatal condition n (%)					
Good	25/30 (83.33)	13/19 (68.42)	35/41 (85.36)	52/59 (88.13)	0.147
NICU admission	5/30 (16.66)	5/19 (26.31)	6/41 (14.63)	7/59 (11.86)	
Neonatal death	0/30 (0)	1/19 (5.26)	0/41 (0)	0/59 (0)	
Anomalies n (%)	0/30 (0)	1/19 (5.26)	1/41 (2.43)	1/59 (1.69)	0.637

Severe IUGR: a fetal weight below the third percentile for gestational age as determined through an ultrasound.

SGA (small gestational age): a fetal weight between the 3rd and 10th percentiles for gestational age as determined through an ultrasound. *Chi-square test

4. Discussion

In this study, the maternal and neonatal outcomes of different PCOS phenotypes were compared. According to our results, phenotype D (37%) and phenotype B (14%) had the highest and lowest frequencies in the study population, respectively. However, Vaggopoulos and colleagues, in a study on 266 women with PCOS showed that phenotype A was the most frequent (11). Also, Baldani and co-workers obtained similar results in Croatia (12).

In the current research, the abortion rate in phenotypes B and A was higher than in the other phenotypes, but this difference was not statistically significant. According to previous studies, the risk of abortion in women with PCOS is three times higher than in healthy pregnant women (13). Similarly, Palomba and colleagues demonstrated that the abortion rate

in phenotype A is significantly higher than in other phenotypes (14). However, Jakubowicz *et al.* did not report any differences among the PCOS phenotypes regarding the abortion rate (15). Perhaps it would be possible to achieve more reliable results on the abortion rate in these patients with a higher sample size of different PCOS phenotypes.

Our results also showed that the rate of GDM in women with PCOS significantly differed among the four phenotypic groups, and the highest GDM level was observed in group A. According to the logistic regression analysis, phenotypes A and B had a higher chance of having a higher mean of fasting blood glucose, OGTT, and GDM compared with phenotypes C and D. In Palomba and co-workers' study, GDM was more frequent in phenotypes A and C (14), whereas, another study in 2015 showed that the incidence of GDM in phenotypes A and B was higher than in the other phenotypes (16).

Furthermore, our study results showed that phenotypes B and A had the highest levels of pregnancy-induced hypertension. A previous study demonstrated that the incidence of maternal and neonatal complications in pregnant women with PCOS was higher than in the control group, especially in the phenotypes with oligo-menorrhea and hyperandrogenism. Also, pregnancy-induced hypertension in phenotypes A and B was reported more often than in other phenotypes (17). Moreover, Kollman and colleagues divided PCOS phenotypes into oligo-ovulatory (A, B) and ovulatory (C, D) groups and reported more pregnancy-induced hypertension in groups A and B compared with the other two groups (16).

In the present study, one intrauterine fatal death was observed in group A, which was not statistically different from the other groups. In normal pregnancies, this rate is predicted by mortality in the uterus. Our results were consistent with other studies (18), which reported that PCOS phenotypes have no effect on stillbirths.

Another study in 2013 reported that maternal complications such as preeclampsia in pregnant women with PCOS are significantly higher than in healthy pregnant women (19). In Palomba's study, the incidence of preeclampsia was highest in phenotypes A and B (20). According to Kollmann and others' results, the incidence of preeclampsia in oligo-ovulatory phenotypes is significantly higher than in others (16). In the current research, the incidence of preeclampsia in phenotypes B and A was highest; but, no significant difference regarding preeclampsia was observed among the studied groups. In the logistic regression test, phenotype B had a higher chance of preeclampsia. There were no differences in the other adverse outcomes of pregnancy such as

amniotic fluid disorders, premature rupture of membranes, adverse perinatal outcomes, and preterm deliveries between PCOS phenotypic groups, which was consistent with Kollmann and Palomba's studies (16, 17). Moreover, no significant difference was found in the neonatal outcomes investigated among the different phenotypes of PCOS, including the 5-min Apgar score, birthweight, neonatal anomalies, and NICU admission. similar to Kollmann *et al.* results (16).

5. Conclusion

Considering the higher risk of GDM and pregnancy-induced hypertension in PCOS phenotypes A and B, women with these phenotypes require more precise prenatal care.

Acknowledgements

This research is part of the M.D dissertation of Akramsadat Dehghani Firoozabadi which has been financially supported by Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declared that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Homburg R. Pregnancy complications in PCOS. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism* 2006; 20: 281–292.
- [2] Ghazeeri GS, Nassar AH, Younes Z, Awwad JT. Pregnancy outcomes and the effect of metformin treatment in women with polycystic

- ovary syndrome: an overview. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2012; 91: 658–678.
- [3] Carmina E, Lobo RA. Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS): arguably the most common endocrinopathy is associated with significant morbidity in women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1999; 84: 1897–1899.
- [4] Bruce-Jones W, Zolse G, White P. Polycystic ovary syndrome and psychiatric morbidity. *J Psychosom Obstet Gynecol* 1993; 14: 111–116.
- [5] Bagegni N, Blaine J, Van Voorhis BJ, Dokras A. Risk of early & late obstetric complications in women with IVF-conceived pregnancies and polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS). *Proceed Obstet Gynecol* 2010; 1:1–10.
- [6] Galazis N, Docheva N, Nicolaidis KH, Atiomo W. Proteomic biomarkers of preterm birth risk in women with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS): a systematic review and biomarker database integration. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e53801.
- [7] Nikbakht R, Zargar M, Barekati Z, Mohammad Jafari R, Shahbazian N, Barati M. Adverse pregnancy and neonatal outcomes in polycystic ovary syndrome women. *Tehran Univ Med J* 2016; 73: 827–831.
- [8] Wang JX, Davies MJ, Norman RJ. Polycystic ovarian syndrome and the risk of spontaneous abortion following assisted reproductive technology treatment. *Hum Reprod* 2001; 16: 2606–2609.
- [9] Elting MW, Korsen TJ, Rekers-Mombarg LT, Schoemaker J. Women with polycystic ovary syndrome gain regular menstrual cycles when ageing. *Hum Reprod* 2000; 15: 24–28.
- [10] Moran LJ, Norman RJ, Teede HJ. Metabolic risk in PCOS: phenotype and adiposity impact. *Trends in Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2015; 26: 136–143.
- [11] Vaggopoulos V, Trakakis E, Chrelas C, Panagopoulos P, Basios G, Makridima S, et al. Comparing classic and newer phenotypes in Greek PCOS women: the prevalence of metabolic syndrome and their association with insulin resistance. *J Endocrinol Invest* 2013; 36: 478–484.
- [12] Baldani DP, Škrgatić L, Goldštajn MS, Vrčić H, Čanić T, Strelec M. Clinical, hormonal and metabolic characteristics of polycystic ovary syndrome among obese and nonobese women in the Croatian population. *Coll Antropol* 2013; 37: 465–470.
- [13] Winter E, Wang J, Davies MJ, Norman R. Early pregnancy loss following assisted reproductive technology treatment. *Hum Reprod* 2002; 17: 3220–3223.
- [14] Palomba S, Falbo A, Orio F Jr, Zullo F. Effect of preconceptional metformin on abortion risk in polycystic ovary syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Fertil Steril* 2009; 92: 1646–1658.
- [15] Jakubowicz DJ, Luorno MJ, Jakubowicz S, Roberts KA, Nestler JE. Effects of metformin on early pregnancy loss in the polycystic ovary syndrome. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2002; 87: 524–529.
- [16] Kollmann M, Klaritsch P, Martins WP, Guenther F, Schneider V, Herzog SA, et al. Maternal and neonatal outcomes in pregnant women with PCOS: comparison of different diagnostic definitions. *Hum Reprod* 2015; 30: 2396–2403.
- [17] Palomba S, Falbo A, Russo T, Tolino A, Orio F, Zullo F. Pregnancy in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: the effect of different phenotypes and features on obstetric and neonatal outcomes. *Fertil Steril* 2010; 94: 1805–1811.
- [18] Roos N, Kieler H, Sahlin L, Ekman-Ordeberg G, Falconer H, Stephansson O. Risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: population based cohort study. *BMJ* 2011; 343: d6309.
- [19] Qin JZ, Pang LH, Li MJ, Fan XJ, Huang RD, Chen HY. Obstetric complications in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol* 2013; 11: 56.

- [20] Palomba S, De Wilde MA, Falbo A, Koster MP, La Sala GB, Fauser BC. Pregnancy complications in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Hum Reprod Update* 2015; 21: 575–592.