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**ABSTRACT**

*Centella asiatica* sp. (pegagan) has neurotropic and neuroprotective properties which inhibit deterioration of memory because of chronic electrical stress. Studies about effective dose of *Centella asiatica* still continued. This study was conducted to discover the influence of pegagan extract’s dose variation intake towards memory after chronic stress. This study uses that true experimental design with pretest-posttest control design. Fifteen male *Sprague Dawley* rats were divided into three groups randomly. Every groups are treated with chronic electrical stress for 4 weeks and aquades (KN), 300 (KN1) or 600 mg/kgBW/day (KP2) of *Centella asiatica*. The escape latency distance measure using Morris water maze was used to assess rats’ memory. Data were analyzed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov, ANOVA and paired t-test. The average of escape latency distance before chronic electrical stress were 5.71±0.77m (KN); 4.29±0.36m (KP1); 3.81±0.47m (KP2) and after chronic electrical stress were 1.50±0.05m (KN); 1.55±0.04m (KP1); 1.82±0.11m (KP2). There were significant differences among groups after chronic electrical stress on day-1 (p=0.005) and day-3 (p=0.001). There were significant differences (p<0.05) for 3 days of escape latency test (KN), 5 days of escape latency test (KP1) and 2 days of escape latency test (KP2) from 6 days of memory measurement. In conclusion, dose variation of *Centella asiatica* did not affect to latency distance using Morris water maze. Ethanol extract of *Centella asiatica* with doses 300mg/kgBW/day could stimulate increasing memory formation after chronic electrical stress better.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Increasingly complex needs of modern era demanding human to work with more loads to meet those needs. The work load will affect human physical and psychological, then inducing stress. The incidence of life with stress correlates with disease conditions in humans. Research reveals that there was correlation between the incidence of life with stress and disease conditions in humans. Study reveals that the external environments such as financial problems, stress in workplace, personal relationship, health and the things that bothers were the biggest causes of stress in modern society (Holmes & Rahe, 1967).

Stress declines memory function through activating hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis. HPA axis gives negative feedback that leads to neurodegeneration of nerve cells in the brain, especially in hippocampus, a place for memory consolidation (Bowman *et al.*, 2003).

*Centella asiatica* (pegagan) has many chemical compounds content. *Centella asiatica* has 36 derives of asiatic acid with neuroprotectif effect. Three of those derivative compounds have neuroprotective effect. Its antioxidant effects are equivalent with glutathione, glutation peroxides and some other antioxidant enzymes (Orhan, 2012).

Morris water maze is a tool that is used to assess memory in rat (Hooge & Deyn, 2001). The parameters that are used are distance, duration and speed of rat to reach the platform.®
It also functions to evaluate the effect of neurotrophic agent to memory function (Alvin, 2009).

We used rat for this study due to this animal is standard animal in maze trial to assess learning and memory process (Hooge & Deyn, 2001) and to minimize ovarian hormone’s effect.

Many studies had been done to assess the effect of *Centella asiatica* in memory (Astari *et al.*, 2012; Belcaro, 2011; Gupta & Flora, 2006; Sari, 2011; Sari *et al.*, 2012; Sasmita *et al.*, 2012; Magarinos *et al.*, 1997). Objective of this study is to discover the affect of dose variations effect of *Centella asiatica* ethanol extract on escape latency’s distance Morris water maze after chronic electrical stress.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Fifteen male *Sprague Dawley* rats were divided into three groups randomly. Every groups are treated with chronic electrical stress for 4 weeks and aquades (KN), 300mg/kgBW/day (KN1) or 600mg/kgBW/day (KP2) of *Centella asiatica*.

Before administration of *Centella asiatica* ethanol extract and chronic electrical stress, rats were tested with escape latency (hidden platform method) using Morris water maze for 8 days. Day-1 and day-2 is used as training for rats. From day-3 to day-8 were the days to record for duration and distance for rat to reach the platform. Each trial was done twice a day.

Platform was placed in one of quadrants. Rats started to swim from one quadrant to different quadrant where platform was placed. The rat tried to locate the hidden platform under opaque color water. Around the maze was labeled with striking cues and the rats would memorize the cues to find platform. If in 90 seconds the rat could not find the platform, the mice was led to the platform and allowed to be on the platform for 30 seconds so that the rats could memorized the location of the platform relative to the cues around the maze. Then, the rat was removed from maze, dried and put into heater boxes while waiting for second trial. In the second trial mice re-tested in the same way the first trial. Rat placed in one quadrant of the maze and it would swim to find the platform. Once the rat found the platform, rats were removed from the pool, dried in a heater box and returned to the cage.

Duration and distance for rats to find the platform were measured and their activity to reach the platform was recorded by camera above the maze. Distance and duration to find the platform was measured using curvimetry and stopwatch.

After 8 days trial with escape latency (hidden platform method) Morris water maze before treatment, rats were treated for the provision of chronic electrical stress conditions and administration of *Centella asiatica* extract. Stress box is a box with dimension length 48cm, width 24cm, and height 32cm. The box was divided into 2 rooms which separated with a small opening door in the between so the rats could moved from one room to another room. Everyday rats were treated with chronic electrical stress for 10 minutes. Stress box supplied by wired grid floor with ampere 0.8mA and voltage 50 volt. Electricity was supplied for 5 seconds frequently and have interval for 15 minutes in between.

After administering the treatment for 4 weeks, the rats were tested again with escape latency (hidden platform method) using Morris water maze for 8 days. Distance and duration to find the platform was measured using curvimetry and stopwatch.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Observation of escape latency’s distance before treatment

Average of distance of rats to reach the platform started from day 1 to day 6 could be seen in Table 1. Test of normality showed that data were normally distributed (p>0.05). Test for homogeneity with Lavene test showed that the data had same variant (p>0.05). Therefore, further statistical analysis used was ANOVA (parametric). ANOVA test showed no significant difference (p > 0.05) on day 2, day 3, day 4 and day 5 on among groups before treatment. Significant difference between all group(p<0.05) just found in day 1(p=0.011) and day 6 (p=0.002). From these data it could be seen that the basic memory on each group before the treatment was the same.

Table 1. Average distance for all group of rats to reach platform before treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>KN (m)</th>
<th>KP1 (m)</th>
<th>KP2 (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.39 ± 1.54</td>
<td>7.13 ± 0.40</td>
<td>5.82 ± 1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.35 ± 1.99</td>
<td>6.15 ± 0.31</td>
<td>5.65 ± 1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.80 ± 0.96</td>
<td>4.06 ± 0.57</td>
<td>5.10 ± 1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.60 ± 1.28</td>
<td>2.73 ± 0.36</td>
<td>2.16 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.40 ± 0.48</td>
<td>3.65 ± 0.32</td>
<td>2.33 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.73 ± 0.75</td>
<td>2.04 ± 0.31</td>
<td>1.79 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Avarage 5.71 ± 0.77 4.29 ± 0.36 3.81 ± 0.47

Note:
KN(Control group) : Aquadest and chronic electrical stress for 4 weeks.
KP1(1st Group Trial) : Chronic electrical stress conditions and Centella asiatica extract 300mg/kgBW/day for 4 weeks.
KP2(2nd Group Trial): Chronic electrical stress conditions and Centella asiatica extract 600mg/kgBW/day for 4 weeks.

2. Observation of escape latency’s distance after treatment

Average of distance for all group of rats to reach platform on day 1 till to day 6 after the treatment shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Avarage distance for all group of rat to reach platform after treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>KN (m)</th>
<th>KP1 (m)</th>
<th>KP2 (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.40 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2.80 ± 0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.92 ± 0.18</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.19</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.44</td>
<td>1.55 ± 0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.82 ± 0.25</td>
<td>1.47 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Avg 1.50 ± 0.05 1.55 ± 0.04 1.82 ± 0.11

ANOVA results were shown in Table 3. In escape latency on day 1 after chronic electrical stress, KP2 was significantly different to all other groups. KP2 against KN was significantly different(p <0.05) and KP2 was significantly difference compare with KP1 (p<0.05). On day 3 after treatment, KN was significantly different compare to all groups. KN-KP1 was significantly different(p<0.05) and KN-KP2 was significantly different(p<0.05). All the groups did not differ significantly on day 2, day 4, day 5 and Day 6 after stress.
An increase of memory function before and after treatment were tested with paired t-test and shown in Table 4. In escape latency’s distance, there was significant differences before and after treatment day 1 in KP1 and KN (p<0,05), day 2 in KP1 and KP2 (p<0,05), day 3 in KN, KP1 and KP2 (p<0,05), day 5 in KP1. In day 6 in KP1 and KN (p<0,05). In Day 4 there was no significant difference between before and after treatment in all groups (p>0,05).

**Table 3. Result of one-way ANOVA test**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Sig. between groups</th>
<th>Sig. KN-KP1</th>
<th>Sig. KN-KP2</th>
<th>Sig. KP1-KP2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.005*</td>
<td>0.942</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td>0.263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.392</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*significant (p<0.05)

3. The observation of escape latency’s distance before and after treatment

An increase of memory function before and after treatment were tested with paired t-test and shown in Table 4. In escape latency’s distance, there was significant differences before and after treatment day 1 in KP1 and KN (p<0,05), day 2 in KP1 and KP2 (p<0,05), day 3 in KN, KP1 and KP2 (p<0,05), day 5 in KP1. In day 6 in KP1 and KN (p<0,05). In Day 4 there was no significant difference between before and after treatment in all groups (p>0,05).

**Table 4. Test result of paired t-test to show memory function improvement of rat before and after treatment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day-</th>
<th>Test result of paired t-test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,024*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0,011*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*significant value (p<0,05)

**RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

There have been many studies that discussed the impact of stress on learning and memory (Bowman et al., 2003; Astari et al., 2012). Sustained damage in formatio hippocampi due to stress condition caused decreased of pyramidalis cell number (Astari et al., 2012; Sari et al., 2012), dendrites atrophy and decreased excitability of CA1 hippocampus (Tak et al., 2007). Chronic stress induces HPA (hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal) pathway. In stress condition, hypothalamus secretes corticotrophin-releasing hormone (CRH), then CRH will stimulate the secretion of adenocorticotropin hormone (ACTH) from pituitary to bloodstream, goes to adrenal gland that secretes glucocorticoid. Glucocorticoid binds its receptor in hippocampus (McEwen et al., 1998).

Interaction between glucocorticoid and its receptor in hippocampus inhibits glutamate uptake (McEwen et al., 1998). As a result, glutamate level will increase due to persistent glutamate secretion. It causes an increase of calcium flow. Increased of calcium flow in hippocampus will affect to neuron damage due to instability of cell membrane (Maggio & Segal, 2010).
Activation of glucocorticoid receptor also activates NMDA (N-Methyl-D-aspartate) receptor due to increasing glutamate level. An increase of glutamate in low frequency induces long-term depression (LTD) (Magarinos et al., 1997). In addition, activation of glucocorticoid receptor also leads to hiperpolarization of membrane resulting inactivation of NMDA receptor that plays role in LTP (long-term potentiation) process. As a consequences, inactivated NMDA may decrease LTP and increase LTD (Krzak et al., 2003).

Beside causing membrane cell instability, binding between glucocorticoid and its receptor also causes oxidative stress phenomena. Sustained oxidative stress in the hippocampus could lead to degeneration and atrophy of neurons in hippocampus (Morris et al., 2003). It causes a decrease of cognitive function as well as reduction of learning and memories.

Learning and memory process involves several regions of brain as cortex, amygdala, cerebellum and hippocampus. The process of memory formation mechanism is mediated by LTP, induction and expression of synaptic plasticity. Process from memory formation to memory recalling are a series of processes that include encoding, memory storage, consolidation and recall. Hippocampus is activated while that all are processed (Kumar et al., 2011).

Centella asiatica sp. is herbal plant that has an effect on cognitive function (Soumyanath et al., 2012). Role of Centella asiatica in improving memory after stress mediated by some mechanisms, such as an increase of dopamine biosynthesis that induces LTP. Dopamine biosynthesis will help to consolidate memories through LTP mechanism (Belcaro, 2011). Centella asiatica was able to regenerate neurons by stimulate neurit growth, increasing dendritic arborisation in basal and apical dendrite (Rao et al., 2007), extending dendrite and increasing branch spot of rat’s neuron amygdala (Corwin, 2009). Centella asiatica is expected to decrease memories loss due to chronic electrical stress.

Brain has a blood brain barrier that control substances that are capable of reaching the brain through vascular so it can protect brain from exposure against dangerous material (Mook-Jung et al., 1999). Some active substances of Centella asiatica which be able to penetrate blood brain barrier are asiatic acid, madasiatic acid, asiaticosida and madecassosida (Rao et al., 2007).

Effect of Centella asiatica extract on memory formation could be seen from the difference of rats’ performance before and after treatment. Differences in memory performance before and after treatment were analyzed with paired t-test. In KN, the process of memory formation before and after treatment was significantly different on day 1, day-3 and day-6, so that significant differences in KN occurred in 3 days from 6 days a memory test. In KP1, the process of memory formation before and after treatment was significantly different on day 1, day 2, day 3, day 5 and day 6 so that significant differences in KN occurred 5 days from 6 days a memory test. In KP2 process of memory formation before and after treatment was significantly different on day 2 and day 3 so that significant differences in KN occurred 2 days from 6 days a memory test. From these data it could be interpreted that Centella asiatica could increase memory performance after chronic electrical stress better in KP1 (dose of 300mg/kg/day). This result is consistent with previous research showed that Centella asiatica extract at levels of 100-300 mg/kg/day could improve learning and memory in mouse with
central nervous system toxicity (Rao et al., 2007).

Centella asiatica effects that improve learning and memory can be facsitlated by its component, such as Asiatic acid. It is the main component of Centella asiatica that increases nerve growth factor and accelerate axon regeneration (Rao et al., 2007). Asiatic acid is able to regenerate neuron damage thus learning and memory processes in hippocampus are retained.

Other active substance which plays role in the neuroprotective and neurotrophic properties of Centella asiatica is asiaticoside (Orhan, 2012). Asiaticoside also enriched cognitive function (Soumyanath et al., 2012), stabilizing the balance of intracellular calcium ions levels so that neuron damage could be avoided, reducing free radical agent and reducing apoptosis (Grossmann & Skinner, 1996). Both asiaticoside and asiatic acid could reduce free radical and reduce apoptosis (Oktanindi, 2009).

Previous studies also showed that ethanol extract of Centella asiatica 300mg/kg/day could increase the memory better than aquadest (Subathra et al., 2005). Centella asiatica extract dose 300mg/kg/day administrated for 60 days reduced lipid peroxidation and protein carbonyl and improved antioxidant status in some regions in the rat brain, including hippocampus. Centella asiatica extract dose 300 mg/kgBW/day also improve rat's behaviour (Soumyanath et al., 2012; Irawan et al., 2012). Centella asiatica antioxidant properties that act as a neuroprotective agent are capable to prevent aging-related changes in rats caused oxidative damage (Irawan et al., 2012). Research on humans with elderly subjects revealed Centella asiatica extract 400mg administrated 2 times a day for 3 months could increase the function of the orientation and memory (Sari et al., 2012). Centella asiatica dose 300 mg/kg/day was also able to protect the brain from damage caused by arsenic-induced oxidative stress. Centella asiatica extract dose 300mg/kgBW was able to prevent the reduction of cell proliferation in adult rat hippocampal after chronic stress.

Memory formation differences between groups are tested with one-way ANOVA test, then continued with post-hoc analysis. It was demonstrated that in day-1 after treatment, escape latency distance for KP2 was significant longer than KP1 (p<0.05). We also found that KP2 escape latency distance on the day-1 was longer than KP1 distance on the day-1. Difference between groups on day-2, day-3, day-5 and day 6-were not statistically significant. We concluded that in this study the dose variation Centella asiatica extract (300mg/kgBW/day and 600mg/kgBW/day) did not show any significant differences in the process of memory formation after chronic electrical stress. It might due to optimum dose of Centella asiatica to increase memories production was under 600mg/kgBW/day.

CONCLUSION

We proposed that extract of Centella asiatica 300 mg/kgBW/day might increase memories formation of rats after chronic electrical stress that is showed with reduction of distance rat (Sprague Dawley) to reach platform escape latency using Morris water maze test.
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