



#### **Research Article**

# Numerical Study of Optimal Combustion in Tangentially Fired Coal Boiler 625 MW by Considering Rear Pass Temperature

#### Alam Tri Yudha\* and Arif Wahjudi

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Sepuluh Nopember Intitute of Technology (ITS), Keputih Sukolilo Surabaya 60111, Indonesia

#### ORCID

Arif Wahjudi: http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1017-5835

#### Abstract.

Tangentially fired boilers have a burner system within a single actuator group and a SOFA system that controls the fuel and air distribution to generate boiler combustion in the furnace. The goal of this study was to determine optimal combustion by statistically examining the effect of changes in the burner and SOFA angles on the response of rear pass boiler temperature and NOx concentration in tangentially burned boilers. (1) Tilting burner angles of  $+30^{\circ}$ ,  $+15^{\circ}$ ,  $0^{\circ}$ ,  $-15^{\circ}$ , and  $-30^{\circ}$ , and (2) SOFA tilting and yawing angles of  $-15^{\circ}$ ,  $-8^{\circ}$ ,  $0^{\circ}$ ,  $+8^{\circ}$ ,  $+15^{\circ}$  were used in this study. The GA (Genetic Algorithm) approach and CFD software were utilized to optimize the rear pass temperature and NOx content response. The simulation results data were then examined using ANOVA, and it was discovered that tilting burner and tilting SOFA have a substantial effect on response, however, yaw SOFA does not. In this scenario, the resulting angle variations for tilting burner, SOFA-tilt, and SOFA-yaw are  $+11^{\circ}$ ,  $-15^{\circ}$ , and  $2^{\circ}$ , respectively. The optimal angle adjustments result in rear pass temperatures of 821.33 <sup>o</sup>K and 816.27 <sup>o</sup>K, with a slight fluctuation of 5.06  $^{\circ}$ K. This was in contrast to the preceding conditions, when the rear pass temperatures of sides A and B were 781.32 <sup>0</sup>K and 767.83 <sup>0</sup>K, respectively, resulting in a 13.49 <sup>0</sup>K difference.

**Keywords:** tilting burner, tilting and yawing SOFA, rear pass temperature,  $NO_x$ , GA and CFD method

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

High-tech plants are highly efficient and often considered as advantageous due to advanced technology. This efficiency leads to a reduction in the cost of electricity generation, resulting in cheaper prices for consumers. Moreover, these plants emit fewer pollutants during operation, making them more environmentally friendly. However, the introduction of high-tech plants can pose a threat to existing systems that rely on outdated technology. In 2011, a deviation in the temperature of the rear pass on sides A and B was observed during the operation of a 625 MW capacity coal-fired power plant, as reported in the COD (Commercial Operation Date). This deviation highlighted the

Corresponding Author: Alam Tri Yudha; email: yudcha2011@gmail.com

Published: 3 July 2024

#### Publishing services provided by Knowledge E

© Yudha, Wahjudi. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons

Attribution License, which

permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited.

Selection and Peer-review under the responsibility of the IJESAS Conference Committee.

# 



influence of certain boiler parameters on the combustion process and heat absorption, specifically the inclination angle of the burner [6].

PLTU is one of the technologies highly considered due to its environmental effects, regarding its contribution to global warming and pollution gas emissions. From this context,  $NO_x$  is one of the emitted gases influencing the environment and human health. This leads to the consideration of several technologies, to reduce and control the formation of  $NO_x$  emissions, such as the use of OFA (Over Fire Air) [16]. Based on  $NO_x$  formation in 500 MWe tangential-fueled boiler, numerical method was implemented, leading to the provision of a useful basis for the reduction and control of gas [1].

A 600 MW down-fired boiler also comprehensively evaluated the influence of SOFA angle on flow, combustion characteristics, and  $NO_x$  emissions, for the new burning system with numerical simulations and on-site experiments. The simulation showed that a significant reduction in  $NO_x$  was obtained for all five corners of SOFA, compared to the original combustion system [5].

In a previous study emphasizing rear pass temperature boiler influenced by tilt burner angle of LRC and MRC coal conditions on tangential boiler, ANSYS FLUENT software and the BPNN-GA (Back Propagation Neural Network-Genetic Algorithm) method were employed to determine its optimization [17]. Therefore, this study aims to determine the operating parameters of tilt burner angle, as well as tilting and yawing SOFA, to minimize boiler rear pass temperature and NO<sub>x</sub> content. In this study, the varied parameters are tilt burner angle, as well as tilting and yawing SOFA. GA (Genetic Algorithm) method is also used to determine the response settings of rear pass temperature and NO<sub>x</sub> content under optimal conditions. Numerical studies using CFD software are subsequently carried out on the obtained level, to determine the distribution of temperature and NO<sub>x</sub> content at optimal conditions.

# 2. METHODOLOGY/ MATERIALS

Burner was a supply of fuel and air into boiler to be burned in the furnace, with the geometry designed to produce optimal combustion. From this context, tangentially-fired boiler was equipped with tilting burner facilities, which enabled simultaneous movement in one actuator group. Combustion OFA was also a process in the primary burning zone, using an air supply less than the theoretical parameter needed. The remaining air was then injected into combustion chamber through OFA. Moreover, two types of OFA were observed In tangentially-fired boiler, namely (1) CCOFA (Close Coupled Over Fire Air) implemented a similar wind box for the main combustion atmosphere, with the port

**KnE Social Sciences** 



being adjacent to the arrangement Burner, (2) SOFA (Separated Over Fire Air) used a separate wind box having a relevant burner. The port was also separated by several distances from burner array. From these descriptions, the addition of SOFA system enabled better gradual combustion, leading to the sole adjustment of the location and distribution from the revamped air. This system was vertically or horizontally arranged at the same angle, as vertical and horizontal settings affected NO<sub>x</sub> and CO level controllers. Horizontal settings are also capable of reducing the O<sub>2</sub> imbalance at the outlet. Based on the investigation of large-scale, advanced low NO<sub>x</sub> gas temperature deviations in tangentially-fired powder coal boiler, SOFA was observed as an effectively adjustable operating parameter [7].

GA was a search technique and optimization technique capable of mimicking the process of evolution and changes in the structural patterns of living things. The main tenets emphasizing the work patterns of this algorithm were inspired by natural selection and the principles of genetic science [15].

GA method was used to obtain settings for tilt burner, as well as tilting and yawing SOFA boiler angle with LRC coal calorific value. This was to balance or optimize temperature deviation of left and right-side boiler, as well as minimize rear pass temperature, and  $NO_x$  content. To determine the distribution of temperature and  $NO_x$  content, numerical simulation method was implemented through CFD software, to model and simulate optimal conditions. Research Method Flowchart shown in Figure 1.

In this study, boiler combustion was stimulated with tilt burner angle of  $-30^{\circ}$ ,  $-15^{\circ}$ ,  $0^{\circ}$ ,  $+15^{\circ}$ , and  $+30^{\circ}$ , as well as tilting and yawing variations of  $-15^{\circ}$ ,  $-8^{\circ}$ ,  $0^{\circ}$ ,  $+8^{\circ}$ , and  $+15^{\circ}$  in LRC coal conditions.

### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

Based on the results, the simulated data were validated to ensure that the simulation model made was similar to the actual condition of the equipment. This validation process was carried out in several previous studies [17], with the operational data emphasizing the performance test information on December 19, 2017, at 09:40–11:40 WIB. This was appropriately conducted with a stable unit load at 504 MW.





Figure 1: Research Method Flowchart.

### **3.1. ANN Training and Graph Equation Prediction**

ANN training and graph equation prediction were carried out before obtaining angular variations with optimal rear pass temperature deviations. This was carried out to determine function equations and visualize the graphical relationship of factors to responses.





#### 3.1.1. ANN Training

ANN training was carried out on each target after obtaining the significance of the factor to the response, to obtain a function equation with an MSE value close to 0, as shown in Table 1.

TABLE 1: Anova Test Results in Factor Determination.

| Function             | MSE    | Amount of Hidden Layer | Sum Neurons |
|----------------------|--------|------------------------|-------------|
| $\Delta T$ rear pass | 0.0016 | 1                      | 6           |
| NO <sub>x</sub>      | 0.141  | 1                      | 10          |

### 3.1.2. Graph Equation Prediction

The function equation was visualized after being obtained through ANN training, by predicting the graphical expression of the factor-response relationship. This prediction emphasized the relationship between tilt burner and SOFA tilting angle to rear pass temperature deviation,  $NO_x$ , and boiler exit temperature variation, as shown in Figures 2 and 3.



Figure 2: Rear Pass Temperature Deviation Chart Prediction.

Based on Figure 2, the lowest rear pass temperature deviation had tilt burner and SOFA angle of  $0^{0}$  and  $-15^{0}$  at 22.64  $^{0}$ K, respectively. This condition was caused by changes in residual swirl combustion flow, due to the velocity adjustments in tilt angle of SOFA.

In Figure 3, NO<sub>x</sub> Content had tilt burner and SOFA angle of  $-30^{\circ}$  to  $+30^{\circ}$  and  $-15^{\circ}$  to  $+15^{\circ}$ , with similar graphic contours, respectively. At tilt SOFA angle of  $+15^{\circ}$ , a higher value



**Figure** 3: NO<sub>x</sub> Content Graph Prediction.

was produced, compared to the coefficient at  $-15^{\circ}$ . This was due to the accumulation of NO<sub>x</sub> content, which occurred from the lower to the upper elevation of combustion chamber.

### 3.2. Optimization of Boiler Rear Pass Temperature to Tilt Burner, Tilt SOFA, Yawing SOFA

Based on the factor-response simulation, 2 variables significantly affecting temperature deviation conditions of sides A and B were observed, namely tilt burner and SOFA factors. This was accompanied by the optimization simulation carried out by using GA method, to obtain/optimal position in setting tilt angle. It also emphasized the achievement of a minimal deviation value at boiler rear pass temperature.

From the results, 3 functions were jointly optimized with GA, namely (1) Delta Temperature from sides A and B, (2) Delta temperature side A with boiler design temperature, and (3) Delta temperature side B with boiler design temperature. These elements were optimized in one objective function with a predetermined weight. The following prioritizes the scripts for objective function and GA in MATLAB software,

- Script for objective function
- function y=objfunc1(x)
- T=TempFcn(x');

obj1=T(1,1)-T(2,1); %Delta T as objective function one



obj2=T(1,1)-813; %Difference between *temperature* on side A and *boiler* design *temperature* 

obj3=T(2,1)-813; %Difference between *temperature* on side B and *boiler* design *temperature* 

w1=1; %weights for OBJ1

w2=1; %weights for OBJ2

w3=1; %weights for OBJ3

y=w1\*abs(obj1)+w2\*abs(obj2)+w3\*abs(obj3);

End

- Script for GA

Clear

CLC

input=xlsread('WAD.xlsx',2,'C5:E30'); output=xlsread('WAD.xlsx',2,'F5:G30')

numvariable=3;

mininput=min(input);

maxinput=max(input);

minoutput=min(output);

maxoutput=max(output);

IntCon=[1 2 3];

[x,fval]=ga(@objfunc1,numvariable,[],[],[],[mininput],[maxinput],[],IntCon) %-1 lower bound of normalization, 1 upper bound of normalization

T=TempFcn(x');

TA=T(1,1)

TB=T(2,1)

DeltaT=TA-TB

Based on the optimization simulation, the following was observed Angle Optimization Results on table 2.

| Factor      | Angle (° ) |
|-------------|------------|
| Tilt Burner | 11         |
| TILT SOFA   | -15        |
| Yawing SOFA | 2          |
| ΔΤ          | 2.0887     |

 TABLE 2: Angle Optimization Results.

KnE Social Sciences



To provide the angle setting and achieve the smallest  $\Delta T$  value, GA method was employed. This indicated that the predicted values for rear pass temperature were 813.7958 <sup>o</sup>K and 811.7071 <sup>o</sup>K on sides A and B of boiler, leading to  $\Delta T$  (Delta Temperature) of 2.0887 <sup>o</sup>K, respectively. Based on the MATLAB software optimization, the angle obtained for burner, as well as tilt and yaw SOFA were 11<sup>o</sup>, -15<sup>o</sup> and 2<sup>o</sup>, respectively. This led to numerical simulations on Ansys software, which produced rear pass temperature of 821.33 <sup>o</sup>K and 816.27 <sup>o</sup>K on sides A and B of boiler, leading to a deviation of 5.06 <sup>o</sup>K, respectively. At the optimization angle that produced low-temperature deviations, the distribution conditions were more even on sides A and B. This was not in line with the existing situations having 781.32 <sup>o</sup>K and 767.83 <sup>o</sup>K on sides A and B, with a small deviation of 13.49 <sup>o</sup>K.

Table 3 show Response Parameters of Existing Variations and Optimization Variations of Numerical Simulation Results.

TABLE 3: Response Parameters of Existing Variations and Optimization Variations of Numerical Simulation Results.

| Trial | Factor Parameters  |                         |                | Response Parameters |                |         |                              |
|-------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------|------------------------------|
|       | Tilt<br>Burner (°) | SOFA<br><i>TILT</i> (°) | Yaw<br>SOFA(°) | A Side<br>(°K)      | B Side<br>(°K) | ΔT (°K) | $NO_x$ (mg/Nm <sup>3</sup> ) |
| 1     | 0                  | о                       | 0              | 781.32              | 767.83         | 13.49   | 163.46                       |
| 2     | 11                 | -15                     | 2              | 821.33              | 816.27         | 5.06    | 188.87                       |

While Figure 4 shows Temperature Distribution in the Inlet Area of the Backpass Boiler.

### 3.3. Analysis of Optimization Results

Analysis was carried out on several combustion parameters, including temperature distribution,  $O_2$ ,  $CO_2$ , and  $NO_x$  contours, as well as velocity. This was carried out by comparing various numerical simulations.

#### **3.3.1. Temperature Analysis and Contour Analysis of O<sub>2</sub> Mass Fraction**

In a very hot combustion chamber, the coal (fuel) emanating from burner nozzle immediately reacted with the oxygen from primary air or secondary water, to achieve a burning process. This led to the production of heat, which was then transferred to other parts of boiler.





Figure 4: Temperature Distribution in the Inlet Area of the Backpass Boiler.

To determine an overview of temperature distribution, vertical data were obtained at the centre boiler. Figure 5 shows the heat distribution in boiler, which was between 300–2300 <sup>0</sup>K. In this case, the red and blue colours represented higher and lower temperature levels, respectively.

In Figure 5, tilting angle position in both variations affected temperature in the superheater and reheater areas. When increasing the deviation angle of rear pass temperature optimization burner by +11°, as well as adjusting tilt and yaw SOFA angle to  $-15^{\circ}$  and  $+2^{\circ}$ , respectively, an elevation was observed in the orange area. From this context, the colour map indicated that temperature in the orange area was significantly higher than those in the yellow area, especially in the 0<sup>0</sup> position. This demonstrated that the fireball was adjusted upwards when tilting burner angle was raised, leading to a shift in the incoming heat in the superheater and reheater areas. In the furnace exit area, the orange colour illustrated the existence of combustion reaction to coal powder on SOFA layer. Moreover, the direction of airflow in the CCOFA and SOFA was opposite to the route of the primary and secondary air movement. This led to the development of turbulence, where combustion chamber hot air was more evenly distributed. Another function of the opposite airflow direction was to reduce or eliminate the tail of the fireball, preventing it from reaching the superheater area. In the bottom boiler area, green and blue colours were more dominant, proving the absence of coal powder combustion. However, heat absorption was observed in the water wall zone.





Subsequent observations were conducted at each elevation of the coal burner,

CCOFA, SOFA, and furnace exit, as shown in Figure 5.



**Figure** 5: Temperature &  $O_2$  Distribution per Boiler Burner Elevation.

Based on Figure 5, temperature distribution of each boiler burner elevation was observed. This indicated that the two variations were almost similar, with higher elevation leading to dominant orange and red colours. From these colours, combustion process increased with the mixture of coal powder and more homogeneous hot air. Meanwhile, the distribution of new orange and red colours was observed at the upper elevation of burner, compared to tilt angle position of  $0^{\circ}$ . The produced fireball pattern was also identical to tilt burner angle position of  $0^{\circ}$ .

To analyze combustion process, the mass fraction of  $O_2$  was conducted. In this case, the data collection process was carried out in the vertical and horizontal cross-sections of the coal burner. Figure 5 shows the contour of  $O_2$  in the vertical cross-section of boiler.

Based on Figure 5, the edge of combustion chamber area had a larger mass fraction of  $O_2$  than in the fireball section. This indicated that the oxygen entering combustion chamber was not immediately exhausted to oxidize the coal powder particles. In this case, the lack of oxygen on the edge of the fireball was capable of causing incomplete combustion, leading to the production of CO and non-optimal heat. From the optimization angle variations, the  $O_2$  distribution shifted with the increased upward adjustment of the fireball due to tilting.

In burner area, a noticeable increase was observed in oxygen content from layers A to G. This was affected by low-quality coal, requiring a longer time to burn completely.



When the coal used was lower than the design, passage in combustion chamber became insufficient for the occurrence of complete burning.

Based on the CCOFA and SOFA layers, a lighter colour change was observed in each corner. This was because the area was supplied with a considerable amount of air from the CCOFA and SOFA nozzles.

#### 3.3.2. NO<sub>x</sub> Contour Analysis

Based on the ANOVA test, the selected factor did not significantly affect the response of NO<sub>x</sub> content. However, observations were conducted on NO<sub>x</sub> mass fraction contour, to analyze the process of its exhaust air formation from the furnace area to the exit flue gas. Figure 6 shows Effect of Angular Variation on NOx Contour in Boiler



Figure 6: Effect of Angular Variation on NO<sub>x</sub> Contour in Boiler.

# **4. CONCLUSION**

Based on the obtained results, several conclusions can be drawn. Firstly, the application of the GA method for optimization yielded specific angles that are suitable for actual operational conditions. The optimal *angles* for the *burner*, as well as the *tilt* and *yaw* angles for the SOFA, were determined as +11°, -15°, and 2°, respectively.

*Temperature* distribution showed that the *average* heat values in the *furnace* area produced 1599.12°K and 1544.17°K at optimal and existing variations, respectively. This



emphasized an increase of 4% when compared to the existing angle variation conditions.

From the ANOVA test, the selected factor did not significantly affect the response of NO<sub>x</sub> content. This indicated that the largest NO<sub>x</sub> content at boiler outlet was the optimization variation of 188.87 mg/Nm3, compared to the existing value of 163.46 mg/Nm3.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by PT. PLN Indonesia Power and Sepuluh Nopember Institute of Technology is gratefully acknowledged for providing the actual operational data and reference.

# References

- [1] Choi CR, Kim CN. Numerical Investigation On The Flow, Combustion and NO<sub>x</sub> emission characteristics iin a 500 Mwe Tangentially fired Pulverized-Coal Boiler. Fuel. 2009;88(9):1720-31.
- [2] Hidayat R. Numerical Study of Gas-Solid Flow Characteristics and Tangentially Fired Pulverized-Coal Boiler 315 MWe Combustion with Variations in Tilting Angle and Calorific Value of Coal (Case Study of PLTU Pacitan Unit 1). Master's thesis.Sepuluh November Institute of Technology, Surabaya, 2015.
- [3] Ho Young Park. Se Hyun Baek, Hyun Hee Kim, Young Joo Kim, Tae Hyung Kim, Hyun so Lim, Dong so Kang, Reduction of Main Steam Temperature Deviation in A Tangentially Coal -Fired. Two Pass Boiler; 2015. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2015.11.005.
- [4] Kramer O. Genetic Algorithm Essentials. Oldenburg: University of Oldenburg; 1999.
- [5] Ma L, Yu S, Fang Q, Zhang C, Chen G. Effect of Separated Over-Fire Air Angle On Combustion and NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions in a Down-Fired Utility Boiler With a Novel Combustion System. Process Saf Environ Prot. 2020;138:57-66.
- [6] Hutomo NS, Wahjudi A. Numerical Study Effect of Burner Tilt Angle On The Boiler Rear Pass Temperature On PLTU Banten 1 Suralaya Under LRC Coal Conditions. AIP Conf Proc. 2019;2187:020032.
- [7] Park HY, Baek SH, Kim YJ, Kim TH, Kang DS, Kim DW. Numerical and Experimental Investigations On The Gas Temperature Deviation In A Large Scale, Advanced Low NO<sub>x</sub>, Tangentially Fired Pulverized Coal Boiler. Fuel. 2013;2013(104):641–6.



- [8] Peng Tan, Dengfeng Tian, Qingyan Fang, Lun Ma, Cheng Zhang, Gang Chen, Lijin Zhong, Honggang Zhang. "Effects of Burner Tilt Angle on the Combustion and NO<sub>x</sub> Emission Characteristics of a 700 Mwe Deep- Air-Staged Tangentially Pulverized-Coal-Fired Boiler". Fuel 196 (2017) 314–324, 2017. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2017.02.009.
- [9] Sankar G, Chandra Sekhar Dhannina, Santhosh, Kumar D, Balasubramanian K R. "Numerical Simulation of the Heat Transfer and  $NO_x$  Emissions in a 660 MW Tangentially Fired Pulverised Coal Supercritical Boiler". 2020. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00231-020-02884-z.
- [10] Tharangaraju S, Munisamy K. &; Baskaran, S. Research of Varying Burner Tilt Angle to Reduce Rear Pass Temperature in Coal Fired Boiler. Fifteenth Asian Congress of Fluid Mechanics, p. 1-8, 2017.
- [11] The Babcock&Wilcock Company. Steam : Its Generation and Use 42<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Charlotte, North Carolina, USA, 2015.
- [12] Tian D, Zong L, Tan P, Ma L, Fang Q, Zhang C, et al. Influence of Vertical Burner Tilt Angle on The Gas Temperature Deviation in a 700MW Low NO<sub>x</sub> Tangentially Fired Pulverized-Coal Boiler. Fuel Process Technol. 2015;138:616–28.
- [13] Sun W, Zhong W, Yu A, Liu L, Qian Y. Instruction For Product 2129t/h Subcritical Press Control Circle Boiler, Serles No.922-1-8601. Shanghai Boiler Works, LTD; 2009.
- [14] Wenjing Sun, Wenqi Zhong, Aibing Yu, Longhai Liu and Yujun Qian. "Numerical investigation on the flow, combustion, and  $NO_x$  emission characteristics in a 660 MWe tangential firing ultra-supercritical boiler". Advances in Mechanical Engineering 2016, Vol. 8(2) 1–13, 2016. https://doi.org/10.1177/1687814016630729.
- [15] Arkeman Y, Seminar KB, Gunawan H. "Genetic Algorithms: Theory and Application to Business and Industry". 1st Edition. Bogor, West Java, Indonesia, 2012.
- [16] Eka Setiawan Seputro. Bambang Sudarmanta. "Numerical investigation of Over Fire Air (OFA) effect on Flow Characteristics,  $NO_x$  combustion and emission in a 600 MWe tangential fired pulverized coal boiler". IPTEK. J Eng. 2019;5(2):1–13.
- [17] Nugroho Setyo Hutomo. "Studi Numerik Pengaruh Sudut Tilt Burner terhadap Rear Pass Temperature Boiler PLTU Banten 1 Suralaya pada Kondisi Batubara LRC dan MRC". Master's thesis.Sepuluh November Institute of Technology, Surabaya, 2020.
- [18] Instruction For Product 2129t/h Subcritical Press Control Circle Boiler, Serles No.922-1-8601. Shanghai Boiler Works, LTD; 2009.
- [19] Luo Lf, Li Fq, Wang Hw. The Influence of Coal Calorific Value On Power Plant Boiler Operation. 2nd International Conference on Environmental Science and Engineering (ESE), 26-30, 2017.



- [20] Fang Q, Tian D, Chen G, Zhang C, Tan P, Ma L, et al. Zhang, D. Influence of vertical burner tilt angle on the gas temperature deviation in a 700 MW low NO x tangentially fired pulverised-coal boiler. Fuel Process Technol. 2015;138:616–28.
  - [21] Chaplin R.A. Fossil Fuel Combustion System. Thermal Power Plants.Vol. II.
- [22] Singer JG. Combustion Fossil Power : A Reference Book on Fuel Burning and Steam Generation. 4th ed. Connecticut: Combustion Engineering Inc.; 1993.
- [23] Gupta TK, Raza K. Optimization of ANN Architecture: A Review on Nature-Inspired Techniques. In Machine Learning in Bio-Signal Analysis and Diagnostic Imaging. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816086-2.00007-2., 2019.
- [24] Wahjudi A, Soepangkat BO, Arriyani YF. Optimization of Cable Ties Injection Molding Process Using Back Propagation Neural Network and Genetic Algorithm (BPNN-GA). Appl Mech Mater. 2016;836:159–64.
- [25] Bagci, E., & Isik, B.(2006).Investigation of Surface Roughness in Turning Undirectional GFRP Composites by Using RS Methodology and ANN.International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, Vol. 31, hal. 10-17, 2006.
- [26] Sa'Adiyah D, Bangga G, Widodo W, Ikhwan N. Numerical study of flow, combustion and emissions characteristics in a 625 MWe tangentially fired boiler with composition of coal 70% LRC and 30% MRC. AIP Conference Proceedings, 1867, 2017.
- [27] Filkoski, R. V. 3-D MODELLING OF PULVERISED-COAL COMBUSTION IN POWER BOILER 3-D MODELLING OF PULVERISED-COAL COMBUSTION. 2015.
- [28] Montgomery DC. Design and Analysis of Experiments. 5th ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2001.
- [29] Tian ZF, Witt PJ, Schwarz MP, Yang W. Numerical Modelling of Pulverised Coal Combustion. In Handbook of Multiphase Flow Science and Technology, 2017. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-4585-86-6\_9-2.
- [30] Yuan LY, Zhong WQ, Chen X, Li J, Tian WJ. Three-dimensional numerical simulation of variable load combustion of supercritical coal-fired boiler for deep peak load regulation [Natural Sciece Edition]. JSEU. 2019;49:535–41.