

Statement of Peer Review

In submitting conference proceedings to KnE publishing, the conference editors confirm the following:

- They adhere to Knowledge E Policy on Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement
- All submitted conference papers have passed a peer review process conducted by the Chief Academic Editor of the conference
- Please provide a description of your conference peer review process, type (single-blind, double-blind, etc.), and state the total number of peer reviewers:
 - Papers submitted to ICOI committee is considered to be in its final form, and will be reviewed by at least two reviewers in a double-blind review process, with the understanding that it has not been published, nor is being submitted, accepted, or under review elsewhere. Lists of ICOI Peer Reviewers are:

Dr. Charles Shieh (Taiwan)	Dr. Giampaolo Campana (Italy)	Dr. Syahnur Said (Indonesia)
Dr. Alex Maritz (Australia)	Dr. Fernando C. D. Sousa (Portugal)	Dr. Sucherly (Indonesia)
Dr. Frederick Dembowski (USA)	Dr. Pei Yu (China)	Dr. Ratih Hurriyati (Indonesia)
Dr. Sri Gunawan (Indonesia)	Dr. Anton de Waal (New Zealand)	Dr. Budi Eko Soetjipto (Indonesia)
Dr. Bal Kishan Dass (India)	Dr. Ping-Hong Kuo (Taiwan)	Dr. Idris Gautama (Indonesia)
Dr. Tomas Tichy (Czech)	Dr. Jen-der Day (Taiwan)	Dr. Riko Hendrawan (Indonesia)
Dr. Dennis Ushakov (Thailand)	Dr. Ing.Barbara Cimatti (Italy)	Dr. Rudy Aryanto (Indonesia)
Dr. Yoshihiro Yamazaki (Japan)	Dr. Erni Tisnawati Sule (Indonesia)	Dr. Agus Harjito (Indonesia)

<http://www.iaoiusa.org/icoi/committee>

- All the editors have taken all mandatory steps to ensure the quality of the manuscripts they publish, and their decision to accept or reject a manuscript for publication has been based only on the quality of the produced work.

Conference full title:

International Conference of Organizational Innovation (ICOI) 2018

<http://www.iaoiusa.org/icoi/>

Date and place:

Fukuoka University Japan, 7th - 9th July 2018

Chief Academic Editor Name:

Dr. Charles Shieh



Signature: _____

Knowledge E Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement

Knowledge E makes every effort to ensure high quality scholarship in all published articles and conference papers on the KnE Publishing Platform. The platform exists in order to share best practices and accelerate the advance of academic research from regions all over the world. In order to achieve this goal, we need to instill confidence in our readers.

Peer Review

Each conference whose content is published on the KnE Publishing Platform has undergone academic peer review prior to submission. Knowledge E checks each submitted paper against a set of criteria to ensure adherence to aims & scope, prevent ethical or legal concerns before agreeing to publish.

Plagiarism

Knowledge E verifies the originality of every submitted conference paper submitted using Similarity Check <https://www.crossref.org/services/similarity-check/> (powered by iThenticate) to check submissions against previous publications. There is no strict limit or proportion of plagiarized content acceptable however, in cases where manuscripts are submitted for publishing on the KnE Publishing Platform in which the Similarity Check detects more than 20% similarity then Knowledge E editorial staff ask the academic editor for an explanation and a suitable solution is found. The solution is usually either a re-submission or removal of the paper.

Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE)

Knowledge E adheres the policies of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE, <https://publicationethics.org/>), which is a charity registered in the UK. It is concerned with the integrity of peer-reviewed publications in science, particularly biomedicine.

International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE)

Where relevant, Knowledge E adheres to the policies of the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE), including those that cover overlapping publications.