#### Research Article

# **Analysis of Stakeholders for Marine Fisheries Cultivation Through Floating Cages in** Sumenep Regency's The Archipelagic Region

Jakfar Sadik<sup>1\*</sup>, Crisanty Sutristyaningyas Titik<sup>1</sup>, Ni Made Sukartini<sup>2</sup>, and Akhmad Ricky Revananda<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Economics, Faculty of Economic and Business, Trunojoyo Madura University, Indonesia

Jakfar Sadik: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1363-0330

#### Abstract.

The research objectives of this study are: 1) knowing the variety of stakeholders in marine fisheries cultivation, 2) knowing the role and profile of marine fisheries cultivation stakeholders, and 3) the level of importance and influence of stakeholders in marine fisheries cultivation. The method used in this research is stakeholder analysis. Stakeholder analysis is used to identify all parties that meet the definition of stakeholders including parties that may be influenced or affect the results of marine fisheries cultivation activities in Sapeken District. The results showed various stakeholders namely fishing communities (actors), government, entrepreneurs and academics with their level of interest and influence in aquaculture through floating cages. This research is expected to contribute to the development of the concept of coastal and small island economies.

Keywords: stakeholders, floating cage, archipelagic region

Corresponding Author: Jakfar Sadik: email: jakfar.sadik@trunojoyo.ac.id

Published: 19 February 2025

#### Publishing services provided by Knowledge E

© Jakfar Sadik et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited.

Selection and Peer-review under the responsibility of the ICESIDE Conference Committee.

### 1. Introduction

The process of regional economic development must be carried out using methods that ensure the involvement of the region's local resources. However, development using a centralized system approach is not capable of bringing Indonesia's regions, which have diverse resource characteristics, to a better place. Therefore, through the Law on Regional Government, a decentralized approach to regional development must be implemented. In fact, in the latest Law on Regional Government, Law No. 23/2014, local governments at the smallest level, namely villages, are given the authority to organize and manage development in their areas because they are considered to know more about local resources. The development approach that is considered in accordance with the principles of decentralization and ensuring the involvement of local resources is known as local economic development (LED).

OPEN ACCESS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Economics, Faculty of Economic and Business, Airlangga University, Indonesia

The World Bank defines LED as a process carried out jointly by governments, entrepreneurs and non-governmental organizations to create better conditions for economic growth and employment at the local level. Malizia, Emil, et al (2021) provide a definition of LED as a development process in which stakeholders, namely local governments, entrepreneurs and community organizations are involved to encourage, stimulate and maintain job creation activities in the region. Meanwhile, the definition of LED according to the International Labor Organization (ILO, 2005) is a participatory process that encourages stakeholder partnerships between businesses, governments and communities in designing and implementing development strategies by utilizing local resources and regional competitive advantages with the aim of creating jobs and increasing local economic activity.

As a maritime country, Indonesia's marine resources have enormous potential and benefits for the welfare and progress of the nation. However, Indonesia's marine resources also face various challenges that must be overcome with the right solution. Therefore, the management of Indonesia's marine resources must be carried out optimally, sustainably and sovereignly. Some of the challenges faced include; lack of knowledge and technology to manage marine resources optimally and sustainably, lack of public awareness and participation in preserving marine resources, and lack of coordination and synergy between the central government, regions, and related sectors in managing marine resources (Syandri,H et al, 2022).

The development of the fisheries and marine sector through marine fisheries cultivation depends on the suitability of fish species and cultivation methods with the natural environment, as well as the willingness and ability of the community, entrepreneurs, government and fisheries non-governmental organizations (stakeholders) in the region to develop this sector together through partnerships (Aivaz et al, 2021). Other factors that need to be considered when cultivating fish are; first, the biological potential of the fish to be cultivated. Biological potential is said to be high if raising fish from eggs to harvest takes a relatively short time (less than one year), medium potential if the activity takes more than one year, and low potential if raising capture fish seedlings to harvest takes more than a year. Second, the marketing potential of cultured fish products in unlimited quantities and the amount of production is still below the demand; medium potential if the domestic and foreign markets are still willing to accept a limited amount and the fish product is quite famous; low potential if the current demand for the fish is low/unsold in the market (Yu J and Liu J, 2023).

Sumenep district has the largest number of islands in Indonesia. Sapeken sub-district is a pioneer of floating cage farming in the islands of Sumenep district. Sapeken sub-district is one of 3 (three) sub-districts located on Kangean Island, Kangean Islands,

Sumenep District. The area of Sapeken sub-district is 201.89 km<sup>2</sup> or 31.13 percent of the area of the Kangean islands and

21.33 percent of the area of the islands in Sumenep. The number of villages is 9 (nine) villages, namely Sapeken Village, Sabunten, Sasiil, Sakala, Sepanjang, Pagerungan Besar, Pegerungan Kecil, Paliat and Tanjung Kiaok. The sub-district has 53 islands of which 21 (40 percent) are inhabited.

In order to develop the potential of coastal and marine resources, it is necessary to analyze data and prepare economic development plans in accordance with their potential (Aftabuddin et al, 2021). Given the main potential of Sapeken Sub-district is marine fisheries, the analysis and planning are focused on developing the potential of marine fisheries, especially those based on aquaculture based on the role of stakeholders. For this reason, it is necessary to study "Analysis of Stakeholders for Marine Fisheries Cultivation through Floating Cages in the Sumenep Regency's The Archipelagic Region."

### 2. Methods

# 2.1. Data Required and Data Collection Methods

The data required are primary and secondary data. Primary data is in the form of information collected through in-depth interviews or FGDs with informants, namely representatives of stakeholders in marine fisheries cultivation in Sapeken sub-district. Primary data was also obtained from observations of the implementation team at the location of the activity, especially related to the role of stakeholders supporting marine fisheries cultivation in the sub-district. Meanwhile, secondary data were obtained from literature studies, especially those related to the best practices of marine fisheries cultivation in various places as well as supporting quantitative and qualitative data.

# 2.2. Data Analysis Technique

### 2.2.1. Role and Profile Analysis of Stakeholders

Stakeholder analysis is one of the analyses that must be carried out in regional development with the PEL approach. Stakeholders are defined as parties, organizations, groups, or individuals who have an interest, either directly or indirectly in development activities (Bendtsen et al, 2021). Bjørkan (2022) states that stakeholder analysis is needed for several purposes. First, to identify all parties that meet the definition of stakeholders

above, including those that may be influenced or affect the outcome of the activity, in this case the cultivation of marine fisheries in Sapeken District. Second, to mobilize and provide understanding to stakeholders about the activity in the hope that they will feel interested, must be involved and take ownership of this activity. Third, to determine the target of the activity more precisely. Fourth, as a tool to predict and/or manage conflicts between stakeholders. Fifth, to understand the needs, interests and roles of each stakeholder in the activity.

TABLE 1: Plan of Research Methods in Identifying Prospects for Marine Fisheries Farming in Sapeken Sub-district.

Objectives/Scope of Activities	Required data	Analysis Technique
Identification of stakeholders and their role in marine fisheries cultivation in Sapeken subdistrict.	<ul> <li>A list of all parties (individuals and institutions) involved directly or indirectly in marine fisheries farming.</li> <li>The role and resources of each stakeholder</li> <li>List of 'samples' of each party that will be used as informants</li> </ul>	- Analyze stakeholders through their roles and profiles.
of stakeholders involved in Marine Fisheries Cultivation	<ul> <li>List of all stakeholders</li> <li>Overview of stakeholder participation</li> <li>Stakeholder engagement risk overview</li> <li>Overview of stakeholder interests</li> <li>Overview of stakeholder influence</li> </ul>	Stakeholders analysis through importance and influence (Influence and Importance Matrix)

Source: Author's draft, 2024

# 2.2.2. Analysis of Stakeholders' Importance and Importance Matrix

The stakeholder analysis tool that will be used in this activity is the Influence and Importance Matrix. According to Rahimi et al (2022) the stages carried out for stakeholder analysis using this tool are as follows:

- a) Register all stakeholders
- b) Discuss and describe the level of importance of each stakeholder in the cultivation of marine fisheries in Sapeken sub-district.
- c) Discuss and describe the level of influence of each stakeholder in the development of marine fisheries cultivation in Sapeken sub-district.
  - d) Combine the importance and influence of each stakeholder in a matrix.
- e) Discuss the assumptions and risks involved in involving each stakeholder in the area development activities.
- f) Determine who the stakeholders will be, ascertain their participation (role) and how they will participate.

# 3. Results and Discussions

# 3.1. Stakeholders of Marine Fish Farming

There are many stakeholders in the cultivation of marine fisheries in Sapeken District. In accordance with the quadro helix concept, there will be four groups of stakeholders in the development process, and if the four can work well together and support each other, then development can be successful (Salas et al, 2021). According to Noor (2022), the stakeholder groups are civil society (actors as well as objects of development), entrepreneurs (private parties), government (policy makers) and academics (data/information providers). Each group has a role in the development process.

TABLE 2: Stakeholders and their Role in Sea Fisheries Farming in Sapeken Sub-district.

Stakeholders	Resources at Hand	Role	
Community - Direct Actors			
Capture fishermen, laborers	Fishing skills	Provider of small fish as bait or feed with economic value (which is sought after), as a fisherman fish- ing/provider of raw materials using production equipment owned by fishermen/others	
Capture fishermen, joint venture	Fishing skills, some production process equipment (boat or fishing gear)	Provider of raw materials (live or dead capture fish) for entrepreneurs; cooperate with other fishermen in production	
Capture fisherman, owner	Fishing skills, overall production process equipment	Requires other workers/fishermen in the production process. Profit- sharing system or paying fisher- men who are hired	
Workers in the fisheries support services sector	Labor or skills in assisting fisheries businesses	As workers in the fisheries business in Sapeken, such as skippers, crew members, workers in warehouses/packing fish, supervisors/maintainers of cages owned by entrepreneurs, etc.	
Other communities not involved in cultivation	Demand (domestic in Sapeken)	Working in the non-fisheries sector, as a (regular) consumer in Sapeken	
Private (entrepreneur)			
Fresh (dead) fish trader/distributor	Warehouse, fresh fish packaging, transportation/shipping equipment and vessels, catch production resources, money to purchase fish/raw materials, relationships with consumers outside the subdistrict		

TABLE 2: Continued.

Stakeholders	Resources at Hand	Role
Private (entrepreneur)		
	alive during the shipping process,	Buyer of catches (fresh or live), packs them and sells them to contacts outside Sapeken.
Enlargement cultivator	Cages/shelters, other variable resources/capital (to buy seedlings, feed, etc.)	Buyers of fishermen's catches in a live condition and not yet suit- able for sale outside Sapeken, selling the results to collectors / entrepreneurs / distributors in Sapeken.
Other entrepreneurs not directly involved in cultivation in Sapeken sub-district	Cash that entrepreneurs often need, but cannot be met by existing financial institutions	As a trader who buys goods (daily necessities/merchandise) deliberately purchased by fish traders after sending production outside Sapeken. Cash provider for entrepreneurs who need it
Sapeken financial institutions (BPRS, cooperatives)	Cash, network of financial institutions outside Sapeken	Cash provider, facilitator of financial transactions through banking
Other consumers of Sapeken seafood (mainly in Bali and Banyuwangi)	Capital, demand for seafood, other consumer relations at home and abroad	
Government		
Village and/or sub-district government	Policies/regulations, other government facilities	Facilitator in government services in Sapeken as well as the implementation of various government programs
Fisheries and Maritime Affairs Office (district, province) and Min- istry of Fisheries and Maritime Affairs	3 , 1 3 ,	Facilitator of program implementation related to marine fisheries cultivation.
Academics	Expertise, using research and community service program funds	As a researcher, innovator, and apply the results and other community service activities

Source: Survey Results, 2024.

# 3.2. Profile of Marine Fisheries Farming Stakeholders

Many groups or parties are involved, but not all groups can be captured in the survey. Nevertheless, most categories of actors involved in marine fisheries activities in Sapeken sub- district were captured in the survey. Table 3 shows the profile of actors and their businesses in the marine fisheries sector in Sapeken sub-district.

TABLE 3: Business Profile of Marine Fisheries Actors in Sapeken Sub-district.

Stakeholders Status	Length of one pro- duction run	Fixed costs incurred	Variable cost	Revenue (turn production run Range (IDR)	over) per one Average (IDR)	Revenue (Turnover) per day (IDR)
Capture fishermen, laborers	6 - 7 days	IDR. 0, - (provided by the capital owner	Hundreds of thousands of rupiah with an average of Rp 360,000 (fuel, sterofoam, bait); often provided by the boss	900.000 - 6.500.000	3.700.000	528.571
Capture fish- ermen, joint venture	2 - 3 days	IDR. 10,512,000 (Boat and fishing rod)	Hundreds of thou- sands of rupiah with an average of Rp 220,000 fuel, bait)		3.000.000	1.000.000
Capture fisherman, owner	6 - 7 days	IDR. 16.515.000, - (boat, pamcing, GPS, Fish finder)	Hundreds to Millions of rupiah with an average of IDR 720,000 (fuel, bait), Labor costs with a profit sharing system (average IDR 3,250,000.	9.000.000 - 16.000.000	12.000.000	1.714.286
Fresh (dead) fish trader/ distributor	1 - 7 days	IDR. 350,000,000 (warehouse, storage tanks, coolers,		47.000.000 - 66.000.000	51.000.000	12.750.000
Live and dead fish traders/ distributors	2 - 7 days	Hundreds of millions to trillions with an average of 2.5 trillion (cages, motorboats, spead boats, etc.)	with an average of IDR 230,000,000	270.000.000 - 520.000.000	380.000.000	76.000.000
Enlargement cultivator	5 - 10 months	Tens to hundreds of millions of rupiah with an average of IDR. 56,000,000 (cages, boats, etc.)	of millions with an average of 36,000,000 (fuel	110.000.000 - 267.000.000	188.000.000	835.556
Workers in the fisheries support services sector	2 - 3 days	IDR. 0, - (provided by 'Boss')		400.000 - 760.000	600.000	300.000

Source: Processed Primary Data (Survey), 2024.

# 3.3. Analysis of Stakeholders' Level of Importance and Influence

Stakeholder analysis is conducted to determine the level of importance and influence of each stakeholder in marine fisheries cultivation (Galparsoro et al, 2020). The level of importance means how high the actors can be involved or have an interest in marine fisheries cultivation. According to Yu & Yin (2019), actors who really need marine fisheries cultivation will have a higher level of importance, and vice versa. The level of influence means how much the actor influences the success or unsuccessfulness of the marine aquaculture development program. Influence can be identified with the strength or power of the actor. The combination of the level of interest and influence will determine the policy or strategy of their level of involvement in any program or activity of marine fisheries cultivation in Sapeken Sub-district.

Table 4: Analysis of the Level of Importance and Influence of Stakeholders of Marine Fisheries Cultivation through Floating Cages.

Stakeholders	Level		Description	
	Interests	Influence		
		Community	- Direct Actors	
Capture fishermen, laborers	High	Low	When marine fish farming occurs, capture fishermen tend to lose out because there is less demand for their fish products, so their interest in farming is very high. Fishers are the losers (if not involved) and can be the main actors (if involved). However, with very limited resources, their influence on success is relatively low if there is no support from other parties, such as the government.	
Capture fishermen, joint venture	High	Low		
Capture fisherman, owner	High	Low		
Workers in the fisheries support services sector	Medium	Medium	The development of this group of actors depends on the development of the fisheries business they support. They are not directly involved in but complementary to marine fisheries farming.	
Other communities not involved in cultivation	Low	Medium	People outside the fishery should have no interest in or influence over marine fisheries farming. However, given that the program requires an environmental carrying capacity that is directly or indirectly influenced by the community as a whole, these actors also have their own level of influence.	
Private (entrepreneur)				
Fresh (dead) fish trader/distributor	High	High	This group has a high importance and influence on the empowerment of marine fisheries. Cultivators are the main actors, while traders/collectors are the partners who will market their products. Without the cooperation of these parties, the success of the program will be difficult to achieve.	

TABLE 4: Continued.

Stakeholders Level		Level	Description
	Interests	Influence	·
Live and dead fish traders/distributors	High	High	
Enlargement cultivator	High	High	
Other entrepreneurs not directly involved in cultivation in Sapeken sub-district	Low	Medium	Non-fishery entrepreneurs in Sapeken sub-district have no interest in the cultivation of the lait fishery. However, given the mutually beneficial relationship between this group and the cultivators/ collectors - especially in terms of ensuring the 'turnover' of money, this group has some influence on the success of the program.
Sapeken financial institutions (BPRS, cooperatives)	Low	Medium/high	Farmers need institutions that help manage the flow of money for their production process. Although these institutions do not have a direct interest, their presence and ability to fulfill the flow of funds is needed.
Other consumers of Sapeken seafood (mainly in Bali and Banyuwangi)	Medium	High	Farmers need a market for their products. Given that the suppliers of fish products are not only from Sapeken, it is possible that the entrepreneurs who demand fishery products have no direct interest in fish farming. However, they will have a major influence because they are the market for Sapeken's fish products.
	_	Gove	ernment
Village and/or sub- dis- trict government	Medium	Medium	The government has an interest in improving the welfare of its people equally, although there must be prioritization given the size of the area to be taken care of. They also have moderate to high influence, depending on their commitment to support the implementation of the marine fish farming program.
Fisheries and Maritime Affairs Office (district, province) and Ministry of Fisheries and Maritime Affairs	Medium	High	
Academics	Low/Med ium	High	Although academics have research and community service duties, they can choose where and when these activities are carried out. Therefore, their level of importance is low or medium. In fact, their abilities are needed as innovators and agents of science and technology dissemination for the community.

Source: Research team analysis, 2024.

# 4. Conclutions

Stakeholders of marine aquaculture through floating cages consist of; 1) fishing community with "high average level of interest but low average influence" consisting of labor capture fishermen, joint venture capture fishermen, owner capture fishermen, and workers in the fisheries support service sector, 2) private sector with high average interest

and influence" consisting of traders/distributors of fresh fish (dead), traders/distributors of live and dead fresh fish, enlargement farmers, Sapeken financial institutions (BPRS, BRI and cooperatives), other entrepreneurs who are consumers of Sapeken sub-district marine products (generally in Bali and Banyuwangi), 3) government with "low interest but high influence" namely village and sub-district governments, the Department of Fisheries and Marine Affairs (district and province) and the Ministry of Fisheries and Marine Affairs, and 4) academics with "low/medium interest but high influence" namely universities in East Java.

# Acknowledgement

This research has been supported by grants from LPPM Trunojoyo University Madura through National Collaboration Scheme 2024. We are especially indebted to the anonymous reviewer of this publication for many valuable comments and suggestions.

## References

- [1] Aftabuddin S, Hussain G, Mamun A, Failler P, Drakeford B. On the potential and constraints of mariculture development in Bangladesh. Aquacult Int. 2021;29(2):575–93.
- [2] Aivaz KA, Stan MI, Vintilă DF. Why Should Fisheries and Agriculture Be Considered Priority Domains for Maritime Spatial Planning in the Black Sea? A Stakeholder Perspective. Ovidius University Annals. Economic Sciences Series. 2021;21(2):12– 20.
- [3] Bendtsen EB, Clausen LP, Hansen SF. A review of the state-of-the-art for stakeholder analysis with regard to environmental management and regulation. J Environ Manage. 2021 Feb;279:111773.
- [4] Bjørkan M, Billing SL. Commercial seaweed cultivation in Scotland and the social pillar of sustainability: a Q-method approach to characterizing key stakeholder perspectives. Front Sustain Food Syst. 2022;6(1):795024.
- [5] Galparsoro I, Murillas A, Pinarbasi K, Sequeira AM, Stelzenmüller V, Borja Á, et al. Global stakeholder vision for ecosystem-based marine aquaculture expansion from coastal to offshore areas. Rev Aquacult. 2020;12(4):2061–79.
- [6] Malizia, Emil, Renski, and Drucker. Understanding local economic development. Taylor & Francis: 2021.

[7] Mitincu CG, Niță MR, Hossu CA, Iojă IC, Nita A. Stakeholders' involvement in the planning of nature-based solutions: A network analysis approach. Environ Sci Policy. 2023;141:69–79.

- [8] Noor M, Fourqoniah F, Aransyah MF. Local government policy analysis in implementing strategic roles of marine and fisheries development in East Kalimantan, Indonesia. Aquacult Aquarium Conserv Legis. 2022;15(1):502–9.
- [9] Rahimi F, Yazdanpanah M, Gholamrezai S, Ahmadvand M. An analysis of the stakeholders of groundwater resources management in Iran. Environ Sci Policy. 2022;136:270–81.
- [10] Salas LE, Vieira LR, Guilhermino L. Sustainable fishing and aquaculture activities in the Atlantic coast of the Portuguese North Region: multi-stakeholder views as a tool for maritime spatial planning. Sustainability (Basel). 2021;13(2):663–78.
- [11] Syandri, Hafrijal, and Munzir, A. Floating cage aquaculture production in Indonesia: assessment of opportunities and challenges in Lake Maninjau. AIMS Environ Sci. 2022;9(1):223–35.
- [12] Yu J, Liu J. Policies in the development of offshore cage aquaculture in China: evolution, performance, and prospects. Rev Fish Sci Aquacult. 2023;31(2):216–32.
- [13] Yu J, Yin W. Exploring stakeholder engagement in mariculture development: challenges and prospects for China. Mar Policy. 2019;103(1):84–90.