

Conference Paper

Exploring the Role of TPACK in Promoting Inquiry-based Learning in 21st-century Science Learning

Felixtian Teknowijoyo^{1*}, Budiyo², Gunarhadi¹, and Sukarmin³¹Department of Education, Sebelas Maret University, Surakarta, Indonesia²Department of Mathematics Education, Sebelas Maret University, Surakarta, Indonesia³Department of Physics Education, Sebelas Maret University, Surakarta, Indonesia**ORCID**Felixtian Teknowijoyo: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7244-5381>Budiyo: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4683-525X>Gunarhadi: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1329-62803>**Abstract.**

The realm of science education is grappling with the growing demand to equip learners with the scientific reasoning and technological capabilities vital for the 21st century. In light of this, the present study delves into how Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) can enhance inquiry-driven approaches in modern-day science education. Using a literature review as its methodological approach, this research ascertains that TPACK is pivotal in making inquiry-based learning more accessible in science pedagogy. Specifically, educators who possess a profound grasp of TPACK can seamlessly weave technology into science lessons, tailor their teaching methods to cater to student requirements, and combine scientific factual knowledge with analytical reasoning abilities. As it pertains to applying an inquiry-driven curriculum, TPACK empowers educators to design engaging, collaborative, and experiential learning scenarios within the ambit of scientific exploration. Consequently, this study sheds light on the instrumental role of TPACK in science education of the 21st century, advocating for a more dynamic and learner-focused approach. This research underscores the need for specialized training and continual professional enhancement with a spotlight on cultivating TPACK skills among science educators. Furthermore, the insights derived from this study can pave the way for avant-garde curriculum frameworks and pedagogical structures, further championing inquiry-oriented instruction in contemporary science education.

Keywords: TPACK, science education, inquiry based learning, 21st centuryCorresponding Author: Felixtian Teknowijoyo; email: f.teknowijoyo@student.uns.ac.id**Published** 3 January 2024

Publishing services provided by Knowledge E

© Felixtian Teknowijoyo et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited.

Selection and Peer-review under the responsibility of the ICHELSS Conference Committee.

OPEN ACCESS

1. Introduction

In the realm of 21st-century science pedagogy, Inquiry-based Learning (IBL) is gaining momentum as a preferred teaching approach. IBL fosters a profound comprehension of scientific tenets by promoting active probing, research, and autonomous discovery by students [1][2]. Such methodologies counteract conventional teaching paradigms which position the teacher at the center, relegating students to mere absorbers of knowledge [3]. With technology's growing imprint in the modern educational landscape, its integration is deemed indispensable[4][5]. Technological tools can amplify learning experiences, provide seamless access to diverse informational reservoirs, and deepen student engagement with the subject matter [6,7]. Given this, understanding how Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) bolsters IBL's efficacy in 21st-century science education becomes crucial [3].

TPACK encompasses a teacher's acumen in adeptly amalgamating technology into the learning process [8], all the while ensuring relevant content and pedagogical techniques are in place[9]. It entails a blend of comprehension of scientific principles, adept teaching approaches, and judicious use of tech tools [10]. Educators well-versed in TPACK can craft learning modules that seamlessly infuse technology within IBL, intensifying conceptual grasp, fostering active student involvement, and magnifying immersion in research activities [11].

Yet, the weight of TPACK's role in IBL-driven science education remains an area demanding further scrutiny. While prior studies have shed light on the merits of TPACK's infusion in IBL, there linger unresolved areas of inquiry . This investigation thus seeks to delve deeper into TPACK's function in accentuating IBL within the framework of 21st-century science pedagogy. Enhancing our grasp of TPACK's role in IBL could recalibrate our viewpoint on modern science education and proffer actionable insights for educators and decision-makers eager to craft effective learning pathways in today's tech-savvy age. The anticipation is that this inquiry will underscore the pivotal essence of TPACK in elevating the success rates of IBL in contemporary science pedagogy.

2. Method

In undertaking this exploration, this study harnesses the literature review technique to delve into TPACK's function in enhancing inquiry-based pedagogy within the ambit of 21st-century science instruction. The choice of the literature review approach offers a

systematic platform to sift through and critically assess pertinent scholarly resources that intertwine the themes of TPACK and inquiry-based teaching.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Technology integration in inquiry-based learning

The study's outcomes suggest that intertwining technology into the curriculum markedly augments the efficiency of Inquiry-based Learning (IBL) across diverse academic environments. Incorporating technological instruments and materials has yielded numerous favorable results in bolstering and championing inquiry-driven methodologies for learners. The ensuing research data underscores the advantages and influence of imbuing technology within the IBL framework.

1) **Increased Student Engagement:** The integration of technology in IBL has been found to enhance student engagement[12]. Technology tools such as interactive simulations, virtual laboratories, and multimedia presentations provide students with immersive and interactive learning experiences[13][14]. These engaging activities stimulate students' curiosity and motivation, leading to increased participation and interest in the inquiry process [15].

2) **Access to Real-World Data and Resources:** Technology integration enables students to access and analyze real-world data and resources[16,17]. Online databases, digital libraries, and educational websites offer a wealth of information, authentic data sets, and multimedia content that students can utilize during their inquiry-based investigations[18]. The availability of genuine real-world resources enriches the authenticity and pertinence of their learning encounters [19].

3) **Facilitation of Collaboration and Communication:** Tools of technology, including online discussion platforms, cooperative workspaces, and video conferencing, enable the enhancement of communication and collaboration among students while they are involved in inquiry-driven tasks [13,20]. Learners can collaborate, exchange their discoveries, and partake in discussions that transcend temporal and spatial confines. Collaboration bolstered by technology encourages teamwork, fosters critical thinking, and fosters the interchange of ideas among learners [21].

4) **Enhancements in Data Analysis and Visualization:** Technological instruments like online discussion platforms, cooperative work arenas, and video conferencing simplify communication and cooperation among students throughout inquiry-centered undertakings [22]. Statistical software, graphical utilities, and platforms for data visualization

empower students to structure, scrutinize, and depict data in significant manners [23]. This augmentation improves their skill in drawing inferences, recognizing trends, and proficiently conveying their discoveries[20].

5) Personalized Learning Experiences: The assimilation of technology enables customized learning encounters within inquiry-based learning (IBL)[24]. Adaptive learning systems and digital platforms possess the capability to customize content, tasks, and evaluations to align with the distinct requirements, preferences, and learning preferences of each student [25]. This individualized methodology cultivates autonomous learning and empowers students to delve into inquiry subjects according to their individual timelines.

6) Development of 21st-century Skills: The incorporation of technology within IBL stimulates the cultivation of fundamental skills pertinent to the 21st century. These encompass critical thinking, effective problem-solving, adeptness in digital realms, and the acumen to decipher information [26]. Through this, students garner the proficiency to navigate through digital reservoirs, gauge the authenticity of information, dissect data, and adeptly convey their discoveries by harnessing diverse digital utilities. Mastery of these proficiencies stands pivotal in navigating the contemporary landscape and realizing triumph in forthcoming professional pathways [27].

7) Enhanced Teacher Facilitation: The integration of technology provides a valuable support system for educators engaged in the facilitation of inquiry-based learning [26,27]. Teachers can leverage technology to deliver prompt feedback, track student advancement, and scaffold the process of inquiry. Additionally, technology tools grant teachers access to a broad spectrum of instructional materials, the ability to share illustrative instances, and the means to exemplify scientific methodologies. This amplifies the role of the teacher as a facilitator and advances the adoption of efficacious teaching methodologies [28]. These research discoveries underscore the pivotal role of technology integration in inquiry-driven learning, yielding an array of advantages for both students and educators. It remains crucial for instructors to explore and adeptly employ suitable technological tools and resources to augment the efficiency and influence of inquiry-based practices within educational contexts. Further research is imperative to delve into precise technologies, pedagogical strategies, and implementation models that optimize the integration of technology in the realm of inquiry-based learning.

3.2. Inquiry-based learning design that integrates TPACK

The research outcomes emphasize the favorable consequences stemming from the amalgamation of Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) within the framework of designing inquiry-based learning (IBL) encounters. The infusion of TPACK principles and methodologies augments the efficiency and influence of IBL, ultimately resulting in elevated learning achievements and heightened student involvement. The ensuing research findings shed light on the advantages and ramifications associated with the incorporation of TPACK principles into the design of IBL:

1) **Enhanced Alignment of Technology, Pedagogy, and Content:** Incorporating TPACK into IBL design guarantees a robust congruence among technology, pedagogy, and content knowledge [29]. This amalgamation assists educators in pinpointing fitting technology tools and resources that most effectively bolster the distinct content and pedagogical objectives of the inquiry [30]. TPACK empowers teachers to craft informed choices regarding the curation and application of technology to elevate the learning experiences of students [31].

2) **Seamless Integration of Technology Tools:** Incorporating TPACK within IBL design streamlines the harmonious infusion of technology tools across the entirety of the inquiry process[27]. Educators can strategically infuse technology tools that aid in the collection, analysis, visualization, and communication of data within the fabric of inquiry tasks. This amalgamation augments students' prowess in technology and empowers them to proficiently harness technology for the purpose of exploration, investigation, and the articulation of their discoveries[17].

3) **Promotion of Active Student Engagement:** The integration of TPACK within IBL design encourages dynamic participation of students in the inquiry journey [32]. Through the assimilation of technology tools and resources that facilitate hands-on exploration, manipulation of data, and collaborative construction of knowledge, students become active agents in shaping their comprehension of concepts and phenomena. The interactive essence of technology tools heightens student engagement, propels motivation, and nurtures a sense of ownership over their learning process [33].

4) **Facilitation of Higher-Order Thinking Skills:** Incorporating TPACK into IBL design fosters the cultivation of advanced cognitive skills among students [3]. Technological tools can serve as support structures to scaffold and facilitate critical thinking, problem-solving, and the art of inquiry[26]. For instance, simulation software or online modeling tools can be harnessed by students to test hypotheses, dissect intricate datasets, and derive conclusions rooted in evidence. The amalgamation of TPACK principles

empowers students to partake in profound contemplation and introspective practices [34]. Furthermore, the integration of TPACK enables the provision of tailored and diverse learning experiences within the IBL framework. Educators can leverage technology to chart individualized learning pathways, furnish resources, and design assessments grounded in the diverse needs, passions, and aptitudes of students. This personalized approach nurtures student agency and embraces a spectrum of learning styles, thereby ensuring that all students can actively engage and flourish within the realm of inquiry [31].

5) The incorporation of TPACK into IBL design underscores the necessity for continuous teacher support and professional growth. Educators require avenues to refine their TPACK proficiencies, enrich their grasp of pedagogical content knowledge, and delve into successful methods for merging technology within IBL[3]. Equipping teachers with professional development initiatives and resources can enable them to fashion and execute impactful IBL encounters that seamlessly infuse TPACK principles

6) Incorporating TPACK within the design of Inquiry-Based Learning (IBL) has yielded favorable impacts on students' educational achievements. Learners who engage in thoughtfully crafted IBL activities that blend TPACK components showcase enhanced comprehension of subject matter, elevated abilities in critical thinking, and a heightened drive for learning with increased enthusiasm. Purposefully fusing technology, pedagogical approaches, and subject expertise within IBL design enriches the depth and significance of the learning journey, resulting in more profound and effective educational experiences [26]. These research discoveries underscore the importance of incorporating TPACK within the structure of inquiry-based learning encounters. Educators should focus on developing their TPACK competencies and exploring effective instructional strategies that seamlessly integrate technology to enhance IBL. Further research is needed to investigate specific pedagogical approaches, technology integration models, and assessment strategies that optimize the integration of TPACK in IBL design.

3.3. The impact of TPACK on science learning outcomes

The study results offer persuasive insights into the beneficial effects of Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) on science education results. Incorporating TPACK concepts and methodologies into science education has manifested various advantages, culminating in heightened student performance, involvement, and grasp of concepts. The ensuing research outcomes elucidate the influence of TPACK on science education outcomes:

1) Enhanced Grasp of Concepts: Incorporating TPACK into science teaching has a correlation with better conceptual comprehension in students [35]. Educators with robust TPACK skills adeptly meld technology instruments and teaching methodologies, amplifying the delivery of intricate scientific ideas. Through the effective use of relevant technological aids and teaching methods, students achieve a more profound insight into scientific laws and events [36].

2) Increased Student Engagement: The amalgamation of TPACK into science education cultivates heightened student engagement[37][3]. Technological instruments like simulations, virtual laboratories, and interactive multimedia materials hold students' attention and furnish interactive learning opportunities. Pupils immerse themselves in hands-on inquiries, data scrutiny, and quandary-solving endeavors, culminating in a more substantial degree of participation and enthusiasm within the science learning sphere.

3) Enhanced Critical Thinking and Problem-Solving Skills: Incorporating TPACK into science education nurtures the cultivation of critical thinking and problem-solving aptitude in students [3]. By leveraging technology tools and resources, students are prompted to dissect data, scrutinize evidence, and employ scientific rationale to surmount real-world predicaments[11]. TPACK empowers educators to craft inquiry-driven tasks that foster higher-level cognitive abilities, enticing students to undertake a critical appraisal of scientific principles and occurrences [4].

4) Facilitation of Scientific Inquiry: TPACK assumes a pivotal function in steering scientific exploration within the classroom. Educators equipped with robust TPACK skills can navigate students through the journey of inquiry, weaving technology tools for gathering, dissecting, and relaying data[36]. The integration of TPACK empowers students to formulate inquiries, sketch investigative blueprints, prognosticate outcomes, and deduce conclusions anchored in evidence, thereby cultivating a profound acumen of the scientific approach and nurturing scientific investigative prowess[38].

5) Enhanced Access to Information and Resources: The infusion of TPACK into science education furnishes students with amplified avenues to resources and information [39]. With use technology, students gain entry to an extensive array of scientific databases, virtual publications, and multimedia content, thereby broadening their reservoir of knowledge and streamlining autonomous research. TPACK propels students to tap into current information, delve into assorted viewpoints, and immerse themselves in genuine scientific materials [40].

6) Increased Collaboration and Communication: TPACK integration bolsters collaborative learning and communication amidst students [3]. Tech utilities like online platforms,

digital communication tools, and multimedia exhibitions empower students to coalesce for scientific explorations, exchange discoveries, and partake in scientific dialogues [12]. TPACK capacitates educators to engineer learning landscapes that nurture proficient communication and collaboration-pivotal competencies within the scientific domain.

7) Positive Attitudes towards Science: The incorporation of TPACK in science education has been correlated with fostering positive attitudes towards science[41]. Involving students in technology-imbued learning encounters heightens their intrigue, enjoyment, and valuation of the subject. The integration of TPACK effectively renders science perceived as pertinent and meaningful, consequently amplifying the proclivity to pursue science-oriented professions and maintaining steadfast commitment to science learning [42].

The research findings underscore the paramount significance of integrating TPACK into science education to amplify learning outcomes. Educators ought to diligently cultivate their TPACK prowess, harness technology tools and resources, and contrive pedagogical strategies that catalyze active engagement, critical thinking, and scientific inquiry. Further investigation is imperative to explore specific TPACK amalgamation models, evaluation techniques, and enduring ramifications on students' science learning achievements. In conclusion, this study has delved into the role of Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) in propelling inquiry-based learning (IBL) within the precincts of 21st-century science education. The findings spotlight the pivotal import of TPACK in fostering seamless integration of technology, pedagogy, and content knowledge to enrich students' learning encounters.

The study underscores the urgency of infusing TPACK into teacher training and professional development regimens, thereby furnishing educators with the necessary acumen to adeptly institute inquiry-driven methodologies. By capitalizing on TPACK, instructors can engender learner-centric educational environs that galvanize proactive exploration, critical cogitation, and resolution derivation. Moreover, the research underscores the necessity for curriculum designers and policymakers to accord primacy to IBL integration, providing requisite resources and support to facilitate educators' seamless technological integration in science education. This confluence can facilitate access to a plenitude of information founts, champion collaborative undertakings, and endow students with the autonomy to metamorphose into independent scholars. Prospecting ahead, forthcoming research should persist in scrutinizing the nexus between TPACK and inquiry-based learning outcomes in science education. Additionally, delving into

TPACK's impact on student engagement, scientific acumen, and critical reasoning proficiencies would furnish a deeper comprehension of TPACK's efficacy in fostering 21st-century science education.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, this research underscores the pivotal importance of TPACK in advancing inquiry-based pedagogy within the domain of 21st-century science education. Through fortifying educators' competencies in seamlessly blending technology, teaching methodologies, and subject matter knowledge, TPACK equips them to design compelling academic experiences that stimulate students' investigative prowess and ready them for the contemporary world's intricacies. This study accentuates the necessity of sustained professional growth opportunities and mentorship for educators, ensuring their TPACK skills remain updated and poised to optimally employ technology to uplift student achievements. By acknowledging the central essence of TPACK and its merger with inquiry-driven teaching techniques, educators can nurture a cohort of scientifically astute individuals. These individuals will possess the indispensable analytical, resolution derivation, and teamwork abilities requisite for thriving in our swiftly transitioning digital landscape.

5. Recommendation

Given the integral role of TPACK in bolstering inquiry-based learning within 21st-century science education, it's imperative that educational institutions:

- 1) Allocate resources for regular training sessions aimed at refining educators' TPACK skills, ensuring they remain abreast of evolving technological tools and pedagogical techniques.
- 2) Reevaluate and restructure curricula to incorporate a blend of technology, pedagogy, and content knowledge, catering to the dynamic needs of the digital age.
- 3) Provide platforms and opportunities for students to engage in technology-enhanced collaborative projects, fostering a culture of inquiry, problem-solving, and teamwork.
- 4) Continuously assess the effectiveness of technology-integrated teaching methodologies and adapt based on feedback and evolving educational technology trends.

Incorporating these recommendations will not only accentuate the role of TPACK in education but also ensure that learners are aptly prepared for the challenges and opportunities of the digital era.

References

- [1] Juntunen M, Aksela M. Life-cycle analysis and inquiry-based learning in chemistry teaching. *Science Education International*. 2013;24:150–166.
- [2] Chu SK, Reynolds RB, Tavares NJ, Notari M, Lee CW. 21st century skills development through inquiry-based learning: From theory to practice. *Theory and Practice*. 2016:1–204.
- [3] Goradia T. Role of educational technologies utilizing the TPACK framework and 21st century pedagogies: Academics' perspectives. *IAFOR Journal of Education*. 2018;6(3):43–61.
- [4] Ghavifekr S, Rosdy WA. Teaching and learning with technology: Effectiveness of ICT integration in schools. *International Journal of Research in Education and Science*. 2015;1(2):175–191.
- [5] Tîrziu AM, Vrabie C. Education 2.0: E-learning methods. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 2015;186:376–380.
- [6] Crittenden WF, Biel IK, Lovely WA 3rd. Embracing digitalization: Student learning and new technologies. *Journal of Marketing Education*. 2019;41(1):5–14.
- [7] Dakhi O, Jama J, Irfan D. Blended learning: A 21st century learning model at college. *International Journal Of Multi Science*. 2020;1:50–65.
- [8] Cheng KH. A survey of native language teachers' technological pedagogical and content knowledge (TPACK) in Taiwan. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*. 2017;30(7):692–708.
- [9] Chatmaneerungcharoen S. Improving Thai Science Teachers' TPACK through an Innovative Continuing Professional Development Program. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*. 2019;1340(1):012017.
- [10] Srisawasdi N, Pondee P, Bunterm T. Preparing pre-service teachers to integrate mobile technology into science laboratory learning: an evaluation of technology-integrated pedagogy module. *International Journal of Mobile Learning and Organisation*. 2018;12(1):1–17.
- [11] Häkkinen P, Järvelä S, Mäkitalo-Siegl K, Ahonen A, Näykki P, Valtonen T. Preparing teacher-students for twenty-first-century learning practices (PREP 21): Aa framework for enhancing collaborative problem-solving and strategic learning skills. *Teach Teach*. 2017;23(1):25–41.
- [12] Archer-Kuhn B, Wiedeman D, Chalifoux J. Student engagement and deep learning in higher education: Reflections on inquiry-based learning on our group study

- program course in the UK. *Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement*. 2020;24:107–122.
- [13] Moghimi M, Stone R, Rotshtein P, Cooke N. The sense of embodiment in virtual reality. *Presence (Camb Mass)*. 2016;25:81–107.
- [14] Potkonjak V, Gardner M, Callaghan V, Mattila P, Guetl C, Petrović VM, et al. Virtual laboratories for education in science, technology, and engineering: A review. *Computers & Education*. 2016;95:309–327.
- [15] Rafiq AA, Triyono MB, Djatmiko IW. The integration of inquiry and problem-based learning and its impact on increasing the vocational student involvement. *International Journal of Instruction*. 2023;16(1):659–684.
- [16] Ertmer PA, Ottenbreit-Leftwich AT, Sadik O, Sendurur E, Sendurur P. Teacher beliefs and technology integration practices: A critical relationship. *Computers & Education*. 2012;59(2):423–435.
- [17] Connell S. Technology integration: Problem-based learning issues and trends in learning technologies today. *International Journal of Education*. 2021;01:1–31.
- [18] Unda-López A, Osejo-Taco G, Vinueza-Cabezas A, Paz C, Hidalgo-Andrade P. Procrastination during the COVID-19 pandemic: A scoping review. *Behavioral Sciences (Basel)*. 2022;12(2):150–155.
- [19] Stefaniak J. A systems view of supporting the transfer of learning through e-service-learning experiences in real-world contexts. *TechTrends*. 2020;64(4):561–569.
- [20] Lux NJ, Grimberg BI, Nollmeyer GE, Swanson E. Crafting virtual connections to support inquiry-based science learning. *International Journal of Technology in Teaching and Learning*. 2014;10:105–119.
- [21] Jeong H, Hmelo-silver CE. Seven affordances of computer-supported collaborative learning: How to support collaborative learning? How can technologies help? 2016;1520. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2016.1158654>
- [22] Daniel A. Improving data visualization skills A curriculum design Daniel Asamoah [IJEDICT]. *International Journal of Education and Development using Information and Communication Technology*. 2022;18:213–235.
- [23] Nolan D, Perrett J. Teaching and learning data visualization: Ideas and assignments teaching. *The American Statistician*, 2015;1305:0–26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.2015.1123651>
- [24] Jasute E, Kubilinskiene S, Juskeviciene A, Kurilovas E. Personalised learning methods and activities for computer engineering education. *International Journal of Engineering Education*. 2016.

- [25] De L. WebGIS and geospatial technologies for landscape education on personalized learning contexts. *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*. 2017;6(11):350.
- [26] Kai S, Chu W, Reynolds RB, Tavares NJ, Notari M. 21st century skills development through inquiry-based learning. 2017.
- [27] Bunwirat N, Boonsathorn S. Inquiry-based learning: An effective pedagogical approach for empowering 21st century learners and education 4.0 in Thailand. *Political Science and Public Administration Journal*. 2018;1:163–183.
- [28] Bennett S, Agostinho S, Lockyer L. Computers & Education Technology tools to support learning design: Implications derived from an investigation of university teachers' design practices. *Computers & Education*. 2015;81:211–220.
- [29] Lasica IE, Meletiou-Mavrotheris M, Katzis K, Dimopoulos C, Mavrotheris E. Designing a teacher training program on the integration of augmented and mixed reality technologies within the educational process. In *INTED2018 Proceedings, IATED*; 2018. <https://doi.org/10.21125/inted.2018.2181>
- [30] Reyes VC, Reading C, Doyle H, Gregory S. Integrating ICT into teacher education programs from a SC. *Computers & Education*. 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2017.07.009>.
- [31] Jaipal-jamani K, Figg C. A case study of a TPACK-based approach to teacher professional development: Teaching science with blogs. *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education*. 2015;15:161–200.
- [32] Khairul M, Haji K, Salleh SM, Shahrill M. Improving conceptual knowledge and soft skills among vocational students through inquiry-based learning in a flipped classroom. 2022;2:235–249.
- [33] Alioon Y. The effect of authentic m-learning activities on student engagement and motivation. *British Journal of Educational Technology*. 2017;00.
- [34] Maor D. Using TPACK to develop digital pedagogies: A higher education experience. *Journal of Computers in Education*. 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40692-016-0055-4>
- [35] Tanak A. Designing tpack-based course for preparing student teachers to teach science with technological pedagogical content knowledge. *Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences*. 2020;41:53–59.
- [36] Agustini IW. Santyasa NMR 1Universitas. Analysis of competence on “ TPACK ”: 21st century teacher professional development. *International Conference on Education, Science and Technology*. 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1387/1/012035>.
- [37] Cheng P, Molina J, Lin M, Liu H, Chang C. A new TPACK training model for tackling the ongoing challenges of COVID-19 2022:1–19. <https://doi.org/10.3390/asi5020032>

- [38] Mishra C, Ha SJ, Parker LC, L Clase K. Describing teacher conceptions of technology in authentic science inquiry using technological pedagogical content knowledge as a lens. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*. 2019;47(4):380–387.
- [39] Sheffield R, Dobozy E, Gibson D, Mullaney J, Campbell C, Sheffield R, et al. Teacher education students using TPACK in science: A case study. *Educational Media International*. 2015;3987(3):227–238.
- [40] Szeto E, Yan A, Cheng N. Pedagogies across subjects: What are preservice teachers' TPACK patterns of integrating technology in practice? *Journal of Educational Computing Research*. 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0735633116667370>.
- [41] Yerdelen-damar S, Boz Y, Ayd S. Mediated effects of technology competencies and experiences on relations among attitudes towards technology use, technology ownership, and self efficacy about technological pedagogical content knowledge. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*. 2017;26(4):394–405.
- [42] Lehtinen A, Nieminen P, Viiri J. Preservice teachers ' TPACK beliefs and attitudes toward simulations. *Contemporary Issues in Technology And Teacher Education*. 2016;16:151–171.