Strontium and Iron Substituted Lanthanum Nickelate as Cathode Material in Solid Oxide Fuel Cells
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Abstract

The MIEC La$_{1.5}$Sr$_0.5$Ni$_{1-y}$Fe$_y$O$_4$ ($y=0.1$-$0.4$) oxides have been studied as cathode materials with La$_{0.88}$Sr$_{0.12}$Ga$_{0.82}$Mg$_{0.18}$O$_{3-\delta}$ (LSGM) electrolyte. Total conductivity, thermal expansion, oxygen nonstoichiometry, and chemical compatibility with LSGM and Ce$_{0.8}$Sm$_{0.2}$O$_{1.9}$ (SDC) were determined. The following fuel cells were tested: La$_{1.5}$Sr$_0.5$Ni$_{1-y}$Fe$_y$O$_4$ ($y=0.1$, $0.2$, $0.3$, $0.4$)/SDC/LSGM/Sr$_2$N$_{0.75}$Mg$_{0.25}$MoO$_6$ (SNMM) and La$_{1.5}$Sr$_0.5$Ni$_{0.6}$Fe$_{0.4}$O$_4$/SDC/LSGM/NiO-SDC. For the former, the maximum power densities were 218, 274, 222, and 390 mW/cm$^2$ at 850 $^\circ$C in case of $y$ equal to 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, and 0.4, respectively. The latter cell showed maximum power density of 341 mW/cm$^2$ at 850$^\circ$C.
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1. Introduction

La$_2$NiO$_{4+\delta}$ with the K$_2$NiF$_4$-type structure is a mixed conductor with large oxygen excess. It is considered as a promising cathode material for solid oxide fuel cells (SOFC) due to a number of advantages such as relatively fast oxygen-ion transport via interstitial oxygen ions, moderate thermal expansion, and high electrocatalytic activity. Nevertheless, it has a few shortcomings such as relatively low total conductivity and chemical incompatibility with various well-known electrolytes [1-3].

The effective way to modify properties in order to improve the cathode characteristics of La$_2$NiO$_4$ is chemical doping. An acceptor-type strontium doping of La$_2$NiO$_4$ was extensively studied earlier [4-6]. The addition of strontium increases total conductivity of the oxides, although it significantly diminishes oxygen content [5]. The thermal expansion coefficient (TEC) of La$_2-x$Sr$_x$NiO$_4$ in the $0<x<0.6$ range is almost constant and equals to 12-12.5$\times$10$^{-6}$ K$^{-1}$ at 800$^\circ$C [4]. Donor-type doping of La$_2$NiO$_4$ and La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$NiO$_4$ by iron exhibits an opposite effect: gradual decrease of electric conductivity along with the rise of interstitial oxygen concentration [7]. The TEC values slightly increased with addition of iron [8].

Chemical compatibility of La$_2$NiO$_4$ with electrolytes such as YSZ, CGO, and LSGM was previously studied [2, 3]. Chemical reactions were observed in case of both YSZ and...
CGO electrolytes [2]. It was also shown that La$_2$NiO$_4$ was not chemically compatible with LSGM electrolyte neither at fabrication conditions nor at operation ones [3].

Thus, the aims of this work are to modify properties of La$_2$NiO$_4$ by simultaneous doping with strontium and iron and to estimate the performance of the La$_{1.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$Ni$_{1-y}$Fe$_y$O$_4$ $(y=0.1-0.4)$ cathodes applied to the LSGM electrolyte-based SOFC.

2. Methods

The series of the La$_{1.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$Ni$_{1-y}$Fe$_y$O$_{4+\delta}$ complex oxides $(y=0.1-0.4)$ was synthesized via citric-nitric technique as described elsewhere [9]. The phase purity of the samples was confirmed by the XRPD analysis using Equinox 3000 (FWHM $\sim 0.05^\circ$ at 2$\theta$) instrument with Cu-K$_\alpha$ radiation at room temperature (RT). Observed XRPD data were refined by the Le-Bail technique (profile-matching mode) using FullProf software. The absolute values of oxygen non-stoichiometry were obtained in the thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) setup (STA 409PC Netzsch GmbH) by reducing the samples at 1200°C in H$_2$/N$_2$ gas mixture with flow rate 100 ml/min. Thermal expansion of La$_{1.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$Ni$_{1-y}$Fe$_y$O$_{4+\delta}$ was investigated by high-temperature (HT) XRPD in air within 25-1100°C temperature range using HTK 16N (Anton Paar) HT-chamber installed at Equinox 3000 diffractometer. Total conductivity of La$_{1.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$Ni$_{1-y}$Fe$_y$O$_{4+\delta}$ was measured by the 4-probe DC technique within 25-1100°C temperature range in air. Chemical compatibility of the oxides with electrolyte materials La$_{0.88}$Sr$_{0.12}$Ga$_{0.82}$Mg$_{0.18}$O$_{3-\delta}$ (LSGM) and Ce$_{0.8}$Sm$_{0.2}$O$_{1.9}$ (SDC) was studied by mixing the cathode and electrolyte powders in a 1:1 weight ratio, following by calcination at 1250°C for 1 h and XRPD examination.

The powder of La$_{0.88}$Sr$_{0.12}$Ga$_{0.82}$Mg$_{0.18}$O$_{3-\delta}$ electrolyte was uniaxially pressed into the pellet of 0.7 mm thickness and sintered at 1400°C in air for 20 h. In order to avoid possible chemical interaction between the La$_{1.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$Ni$_{1-y}$Fe$_y$O$_{4+\delta}$ cathode and the LSGM electrolyte the latter was preliminary coated by a layer of Ce$_{0.8}$Sm$_{0.2}$O$_{1.9}$ buffer and sintered at 1300°C in air for 1 h. Sr$_2$Ni$_{0.75}$Mg$_{0.25}$MoO$_{6-\delta}$ (SNMM), as well as NiO-SDC cermet was used as an anode.

The cathode materials were preliminary sonicated in ethanol media for ten minutes to reduce particle size and, thus, intensify a sintering process. The cathode and the anode materials were painted on the opposite sides of the electrolyte pellet and sintered at 1250°C in air for 1 h. In order to separate the anode side, a single fuel cell sandwich with attached Pt-wire current collectors was placed on an YSZ tube and sealed using special high-temperature glass. The fuel H$_2$ gas was fed inside of the tube with the rate of 250 ml/min. The current-voltage (I-U) characteristics of the cells were measured at 700, 750, 800, and 850°C using a resistance box and the Agilent 34401A multimeter.
The unit cell parameters, thermal expansion coefficient (TEC) and oxygen non-stoichiometry \( \delta \) for \( \text{La}_{1.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{1-y}\text{Fe}_y\text{O}_{4+\delta} \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>( a=b, \text{Å} )</th>
<th>( c, \text{Å} )</th>
<th>( V, \text{Å}^3 )</th>
<th>TEC ( \times 10^{-6} \text{K}^{-1} ) (600-800(^\circ\text{C}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( y=0.1 )</td>
<td>3.82156(5)</td>
<td>12.72034(21)</td>
<td>185.772(4)</td>
<td>13.72 [4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( y=0.2 )</td>
<td>3.83244(5)</td>
<td>12.71399(22)</td>
<td>186.738(5)</td>
<td>15.23 [4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( y=0.3 )</td>
<td>3.83387(10)</td>
<td>12.72142(33)</td>
<td>186.987(8)</td>
<td>14.87 [4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( y=0.4 )</td>
<td>3.85952(10)</td>
<td>12.69795(36)</td>
<td>189.147(9)</td>
<td>14.59 [4]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The unit cell parameters, thermal expansion coefficient (TEC) and oxygen non-stoichiometry \( \delta \) for \( \text{La}_{1.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{1-y}\text{Fe}_y\text{O}_{4+\delta} \).  

Figure 1: XRPD patterns of \( \text{La}_{1.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{0.1}\text{O}_{4+\delta} \)-LSGM (A) and \( \text{La}_{1.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{0.1}\text{O}_{4+\delta} \)-SDC (B) mixtures after calcination at 1250\(^\circ\text{C}\) for 1 hour.

### 3. Results

XRPD confirmed that all \( \text{La}_{1.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{1-y}\text{Fe}_y\text{O}_{4+\delta} \) were single phase (no evidence of impurities or starting materials was detected) possessing tetragonal structure (space group \( I4/mmm \)). The refined lattice parameters are listed in Table 1. XRPD pattern of the \( \text{La}_{1.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{0.1}\text{O}_{4+\delta} \)-SDC mixture after calcination at 1250\(^\circ\text{C}\) for 1 h exhibits no impurity peaks, indicating that there was no reaction between the cathode and SDC (Fig. 1). On the contrary, XRPD profile of the \( \text{La}_{1.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{0.1}\text{O}_{4+\delta} \)-LSGM mixture reveals appearance of the lanthanum enriched products related to the \( \text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7 \) and \( \text{La}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10} \) structures (Fig. 1). Because of this interaction, SDC was used as a buffer layer between the cathode and the electrolyte.

Figure 2 illustrates temperature dependencies of total conductivity represented in the form of the \( \ln(\sigma T) = f(1/T) \) plots. Total conductivity of the studied oxides shows semiconductor behavior within the whole temperature range studied. The linear \( \ln\sigma T = f(1/T) \) dependencies indicate that conductivity in \( \text{La}_{1.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{1-y}\text{Fe}_y\text{O}_{4+\delta} \) is thermally activated. The activation energy values lay within the 9.7-13 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) range, which is characteristic of a small-polaron mechanism [10]. The total conductivity significantly decreases with iron doping, which can be attributed to the hole trapping by iron cations forming stable Fe\(^{3+}\) states [10].

The TEC values obtained from the HT XRPD results were calculated in approximation of non-textured polycrystalline materials with randomly oriented crystallites [11] according to the formula presented elsewhere [9]. The intermediate temperature...
Figure 2: $\ln \sigma = f(1/T)$ dependencies of total conductivity for $La_{1.5}Sr_{0.5}Ni_{1-y}Fe_yO_{4+\delta}$ cathodes.

range TEC values calculated from HT XRPD results for $La_{1.5}Sr_{0.5}Ni_{1-y}Fe_yO_{4+\delta}$ are listed in Table 1. One can observe that the TEC values firstly increase with iron doping and then slightly decline at higher iron concentrations. The former phenomenon can be explained by the size factor. Iron substitution leads to an expansion of the unit cell due to larger radius of $Fe^{3+}$ ($r=0.645$ Å) ions comparing to $Ni^{3+}$ ($r=0.56$ Å) [12]. As a result, the unit cell volume increases with $y$, which is followed by a growth of the TEC values. The decrease of the TEC values in case of compositions with $y=0.3, 0.4$ is due to higher interstitial oxygen concentrations: the release of interstitial oxygen from the structure at elevated temperature suppresses thermal expansion of the material.

The TGA results show that all Fe-substituted $La_{1.5}Sr_{0.5}Ni_{1-y}Fe_yO_{4+\delta}$ accommodate oxygen excess in comparison to $La_{1.5}Sr_{0.5}NiO_{4+\delta}$ [4] (Table 1). The significant increase of oxygen content with $y$ can be attributed to the donor-type nature of the dopant: introduction of iron ($Fe^{3+}$) into the nickel ($Ni^{2+}$) sublattice increases the trend to incorporate negatively charged interstitial oxygen into the crystal structure in order to preserve electroneutrality.

The electrochemical performances of single fuel cells are shown in Figure 3. The open circuit voltage (OCV) decreases with temperature for all fuel cells. The experimental OCVs were close to the theoretical values for all cells indicating a good densification of the LSGM electrolyte, no gas leakage, and absence of electronic conduction across the electrolyte.

Figure 3 shows typical linear dependencies of the cell voltage vs. current density for the tested cells. One can observe that the output power density for each cell rises with temperature. The maximum values of power density at 850°C in the case of SNMM anode have reached the values: 218, 274, 222, and 390 mW/cm² for $La_{1.5}Sr_{0.5}Ni_{0.9}Fe_{0.1}O_{4+\delta}$ (LSFN1), $La_{1.5}Sr_{0.5}Ni_{0.8}Fe_{0.2}O_{4+\delta}$ (LSFN2), $La_{1.5}Sr_{0.5}Ni_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}O_{4+\delta}$ (LSFN3), and $La_{1.5}Sr_{0.5}Ni_{0.6}Fe_{0.4}O_{4+\delta}$ (LSFN4).
Figure 3: Voltage and power density versus current density for the fabricated fuel cells in H$_2$ and static air at different temperatures.

(LSN3), and La$_{1.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$Ni$_{0.6}$Fe$_{0.4}$O$_{4+δ}$ (LSFN4) cathodes, respectively. The maximum power density at 850°C in the case of NiO-SDC anode was equal to 341 mW/cm$^2$ for the La$_{1.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$Ni$_{0.6}$Fe$_{0.4}$O$_{4+δ}$ (LSFN4) cathode.

It can be concluded that despite the significant decrease of total conductivity, the performance of the La$_{1.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$Ni$_{1-y}$Fe$_{y}$O$_{4+δ}$ cathodes has been improved with the iron doping. This could be attributed to an increase of interstitial oxygen concentration with $y$, which, in its turn, benefits the oxygen-ion transport in the oxides [13].

The performance of the La$_{1.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$Ni$_{0.6}$Fe$_{0.4}$O$_{4+δ}$/SDC/LSGM/SDC/NiO-SDC cell is slightly improved in comparison with reported earlier results on the La$_2$NiO$_4$/LSGM/SDC/NiO-SDC system (319 mW/cm$^2$ at 850°C) [14]. However, it is important to notice that thickness of the electrolyte in Ref. [14] was 0.4 mm, which is almost twice thinner than that used in our study (0.7 mm). The reducing of the electrolyte thickness could significantly increase the power densities of SOFC with the La$_{1.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$Ni$_{0.6}$Fe$_{0.4}$O$_{4+δ}$ cathode.

4. Conclusion

The La$_{1.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$Ni$_{1-y}$Fe$_{y}$O$_{4+δ}$ complex oxides ($y=0.1$-$0.4$) have been investigated as cathode materials for potential application in SOFC with the LSGM electrolyte. The XRPD results showed chemical incompatibility of the oxides with LSGM. In order to avoid chemical reaction between the cathode and the electrolyte material, using of the
Ce₀.₈Sm₀.₂O₁.₉ buffer layer was necessary. Total conductivity of La₁.₅Sr₀.₅Ni₁₋ₓFeₓO₄₊𝛿 decreases with iron doping, but the obtained values are still adequate for that required for cathode materials at SOFC [15]. The highest conductivity equal to 130 S/cm at 800°C was achieved for La₁.₅Sr₀.₅Ni₀.₉Fe₀.₁O₄₊𝛿. The thermal expansion coefficients are close to that for LSGM electrolyte (12×10⁻⁶ K⁻¹). Although the TEC values increase with iron content, high thermal stability is still retained. The oxygen content was shown to increase with y.

The LSGM electrolyte-based fuel cells with the La₁.₅Sr₀.₅Ni₁₋ₓFeₓO₄₊𝛿 (y=0.1-0.4) cathodes have been assembled. The best performances of 390 mW/cm² and 341 mW/cm² at 850°C were achieved for the La₁.₅Sr₀.₅Ni₀.₆Fe₀.₄O₄₊𝛿 cathode in pair with Sr₂Ni₀.₇₅Mg₀.₂₅MoO₆ and NiO-SDC cermet anodes, respectively.

Finally, it can be concluded that simultaneous strontium and iron doping can improve the performance of the La₉NiO₄-based cathode material. One would expect a significant increase of power densities in comparison with the prototype while using a thin-film electrolyte.
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