

## Conference Paper

# Efficiency Analysis of Production Factors Utilization in Upland Rice Farming

Rohmad Budiono<sup>1</sup> and Praptiningsih Gamawati Adinurani<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>East Java Assessment Institute of Agruculture Technology, Jl.Raya Karangploso km 4, PO BOX 188, Malang 65152, East Java, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Agrotechnology, University of Merdeka Madiun, Jl. Serayu 79, Madiun 63133 East Java, Indonesia

## Abstract

The research aimed to determine the factors that affect the production and the use of the factors that affect the production of upland rice farming. The research was conducted using a survey method in the Bluluk village, Bluluk district, Lamongan regency, Indonesia, from April to June 2012. Sampling was done by purposive sampling method on 38 farmer respondents. Based on the results of analysis, it was concluded that the production factors that have significant effects on upland rice farming were land, Urea fertilizer and herbicides. At current price levels, production factor of land have been efficient, whereas Urea fertilizer and herbicides are not efficient. Of the three factors of production, only land use school is potential to improve.

**Keywords:** efficiency; farming system; production factors; upland rice.

Corresponding Author:

Rohmad Budiono  
 rohbudi68@yahoo.com

Received: 9 June 2017

Accepted: 15 July 2017

Published: 11 September 2017

Publishing services provided  
 by Knowledge E

© Rohmad Budiono and Praptiningsih Gamawati Adinurani. This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited.

Selection and Peer-review under the responsibility of the NRLS Conference Committee.

## 1. Introduction

Food is a basic need of every human being, one of which is rice. Rice is a very important commodity in Indonesia because the staple food of 96 % of Indonesia's population is rice [1]. Despite the efforts of diversification has long promoted, up to now there is no other food that can replace the rice, so that the rice demand in Indonesia will always increase in line with the rate of increase of population growth. Increasing demand for rice will require the production and productivity of rice to be improved.

The effort to increase rice production is constrained by the growth of crop acre age and productivity has stagnated. At the same time, the average income of the population increased which boosted demand for rice as the end product of rice farming. At the same time, there are an increasing number of people who consume rice. Unbalance between production and consumption of national rice can cause serious problems, and to cover the shortage, importing rice is done. Imports of rice which are done continuously will reduce foreign exchange, farmers are deterred into farm as well as the loss of existing resources [2].

National rice production still focused on the irrigated rice paddy particularly in Java. Whereas the role of rice production of upland rice was very limited [3]. In 2010, the


**OPEN ACCESS**

productivity of irrigated rice paddy at national level was around  $4.66 \text{ t} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ , while the upland rice productivity was around  $2.87 \text{ t} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$  [4]. Upland rice production in Peru could reach  $7.2 \text{ t} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$  [5]. The result of intensive cropping pattern research showed that dry land could produce up to  $10 \text{ t} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1} \cdot \text{yr}^{-1}$  of grain equivalent, meaning that is not inferior to irrigated rice [6].

One way that can be done to overcome the reduced harvest area is to utilize dry land for upland rice cultivation. Indonesia has a dry land more than  $55.6 \times 10^6 \text{ ha}$ , which until now has not been used optimally [7]. The development of upland rice in Indonesia is not as fast as the development of paddy rice, over a period of 37 yr upland rice productivity increased by only  $1.56 \text{ t} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$  ie from  $1.11 \text{ t} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$  to  $2.67 \text{ t} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ , while the increase in productivity of paddy rice reaches  $3.18 \text{ t} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$  from  $1.71 \text{ t} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$  to  $4.89 \text{ t} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$  [8].

In farming system, aligned to the given input as small as possible to obtain the highest possible output. The production function can explain the relationship between the factors of production with production itself directly [9]. The farming system is categorized as effective when farmers or producers can allocate their resources as well as possible. The farming system is categorized as efficient if the use of resources can produce output that exceeds the input [10]. Definition of efficiency is very relative. Efficiency is defined as the use of the smallest input to get maximum production. Such a situation would happen if farmers are able to make an effort if the value of marginal product (VMP) for an equal input with input prices.

Production factors are all things that given to plants so that the plants are able to grow and produce well. Production factors are known by the term production inputs. Production factors are very decisive that production obtained is big or small. Production factors of land, capital to buy seeds, fertilizers, insecticides, labor, and management aspects are the most important of production factors. The relationship between the production factors (input) and production (output) is usually called the production function or relationship factors [11].

Problems of low rice production in upland rice farming system were due to (i) the use of production factors is not efficient, and (ii) limited ability of farmers to manage the production factors are owned. The research aimed to determine the factors that affect the production and identify efficiency of the use of the factors that influence the production of upland rice farming.

## 2. Materials and Methods

The research was conducted using a survey method in the Bluluk village, Bluluk district, Lamongan regency, Indonesia from April to June 2012. Sampling was conducted by purposive sampling method on 38 farmer respondents. The data were analyzed qualitatively and quantitatively. Qualitative analysis was used to describe the circumstances related to the research problems that cannot be described quantitatively. Quantitative analysis was performed with the Cobb-Douglas function and analysis of efficient use of factors of production.

Mathematically, Cobb-Douglas equation can be written as follows:

$$Y = \alpha T^{\beta_1} TK^{\beta_2} K^{\beta_3} \quad (1)$$

where: Y = output (dependent variable)

T,TK,K = production factors (independent variable)

$\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$  = parameters estimated value

Data processing to get a model of the function was performed with SPSS software. Parameter  $\beta_1$  can be interpreted as elasticities of production for each production factors. So the elasticity of production for production factors T, TK, K, is expressed by the magnitude  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ . For example, if elasticity of the amount of production elasticity is if  $\varepsilon = 0.8$  which means that if input (production factors) plus 10 %, it will raise output by 8 %.

The value of marginal product (VMP) for an input equal to the price of inputs, and can be written:  $VMP_{xi} = P_{xi}$ . Where xi was input (or product), and P was price of input (price of product). In fact many  $VMP_{xi}$  not always the same as  $P_{xi}$ . What often happens is as follows:

- a.  $(VMP_{xi} / P_{xi}) > 1$  means the use of inputs x is not yet efficient, to achieve efficient, input x should be increased.
- b.  $(VMP_{xi} / P_{xi}) < 1$  means the use of inputs x is not yet efficient, to achieve efficient, efficient input x needs to be reduced [12].

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1. Characteristics of Respondent Farmers

In farming, education level plays an important role. A farmer's background education will affect farmers in agribusiness management, in addition to its experience in making decision-making and or risk-taking. Armed with the proper education, the farmer's ability to absorb information would be better, including identifying new technologies and innovations in agriculture. This is in line with the research results of Budiono [13]

| Education level    | Number | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------|--------|----------------|
| Elementary school  | 18     | 47             |
| Junior high school | 12     | 32             |
| Senior high school | 7      | 18             |
| College            | 1      | 3              |
| Total              | 38     | 100            |

Source: Primary data processed

TABLE 1: Percentage of respondents by level of formal education in the Bluluk village, Lamongan regency, Indonesia, in 2012.

| Land area (ha)   | Number of farmer | Percentage (%) |
|------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Less than 0.5 ha | 22               | 58             |
| Less than 1 ha   | 10               | 26             |
| ≥ 1 ha           | 6                | 16             |
| Total            | 38               | 100            |

Source: Primary data processed

TABLE 2: Percentage of respondents by land area used for upland rice farming in the Blulukvillage, Lamongan regency, Indonesia, in 2012.

which stated that the level of education of farmers will affect the rate of adoption of technology.

In the study area, the average education level of farmers was still low. Most of education level of the respondent farmers were elementary school: 47 %, junior high school: 32 %, senior high school: 18 % and college: 3 %. Based on the education factor, all the respondent farmers had already attended a formal education (Table 1). However, the ability of the respondent farmers to absorb information technology is still relatively low.

### 3.2. The Land Area of Respondent Farmers

In the area of research, upland rice farming was planted on forest land belonging to Perhutani. Upland rice grown under the stands of perennial crops namely: mahogany (*Swietenia macrophylla* King) and Mindi (*Melia azedarach* L.) under the age of 5 yr. Table 2 elucidated the percentage of land tenure which was used for upland rice farming.

### 3.3. Analysis of The Production Functions of Upland Rice Farming

Regression coefficient value in land area amounted to 0.401 with at-test value of 2.213 (Table 3) was higher than t-table 2.045. Statistically, it indicated that the land area that allocated significantly affect upland rice farming. This may imply that the use of land in a different area, have little possibility to produce the same amount of upland rice

| Variable                        | Regression coefficient | Statistic-t                | VIF   |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-------|
| Constanta                       | 2.387                  | 4.078                      |       |
| Land *                          | 0.401                  | 2.213                      | 2.495 |
| Seed                            | 0.025                  | 0.176                      | 1.861 |
| Urea *                          | 0.391                  | 2.067                      | 2.383 |
| SP-36                           | 0.004                  | 0.051                      | 1.712 |
| Phonska                         | 0.058                  | 1.331                      | 1.473 |
| Insecticide                     | 0.028                  | 0.270                      | 1.399 |
| Herbicide *                     | 0.233                  | 2.079                      | 2.108 |
| Labour                          | 0.007                  | 0.027                      | 1.840 |
| R <sup>2</sup> = 0.717          |                        | Asymtotic significance = 1 |       |
| Statistic-F = 9.169             |                        | DW-Statistic = 1.903       |       |
| Source : Primary data processed |                        |                            |       |

TABLE 3: Regression analysis of the production function of upland rice farming, Bluluk village, Lamongan regency, Indonesia, in 2012.

production. When using larger area are expected to generate greater production. The value of regression coefficient of 0.401 indicates that an increase inland area by 10 % would increase production by an average of 4.01 % assuming the other factors is constant.

Urea fertilizer used in the study showed significant effect on the production of upland rice. Regression coefficient values obtained amount to 0.391 with t-test value of 2.067 is greater than t-table 2.045. This may imply that the use of urea in different amount, had little possibility to produce the same upland rice production. When using urea in an amount more is expected to generate greater production. Increased urea by 10 % would increase production of upland rice by 3.91 %, assuming other production factors is constant.

The use of herbicides were allocated on upland rice farming in the study area shows the significant effect. The value of regression coefficient of 0.233 with t-test value of 2.079 is higher than t-table 2.045. This may imply that the use of herbicides in different amounts, have little possibility to produce the same amount of production. When the use of herbicides in larger quantities is expected to generate greater production. Increased allocation of herbicides by 10 % would increase production of upland rice by 2.33 %, assuming other factors in a constant state.

### 3.4. Analysis of The Efficiency of Upland Rice Farming

Efficiency of production factors was measured by assuming that the purpose of the farmer in doing farming was aimed to achieve maximum benefit, and farmers are able

| Production Factors | Volume (Xi) | Regression Coefficient | Value (Pxi) IDR | Efficiency (VPMx/Px) | Xi optimal | t-value |
|--------------------|-------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------|---------|
| Land               | 0.44 Ha     | 0.401                  | 3 000 000       | 1.147                | 0.50 Ha    | 0.28    |
| Urea               | 129.61 kg   | 0.391                  | 1 800           | 6.261                | 811.48 kg  | 1.74    |
| Herbicide          | 1.11 L      | 0.233                  | 46 000          | 17.054               | 18.93 L    | 1.96    |

Source : primary data processed

1 USD equal to IDR 13 109

Table information : t-table ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) = 2.045

TABLE 4: Analysis Result of the efficiency of the production factors of upland rice farming, in growing season 2012, at Bluluk village, Bluluk district, Lamongan regency, Indonesia.

to combine the production factors to achieve optimal paddy output so that maximum benefit will be obtained.

The efficiency factor of production on upland rice farming can be determined by calculating the ratio of an input NPM with respective prices of production inputs. Based on the results of the analysis of the Cobb-Douglas production function, it is known that not all independent variables included in the model significantly affect the production of upland rice. Variable seed, fertilizer SP-36, Phonska fertilizers, insecticides and labor did not significantly affect upland rice production while land, Urea fertilizer and herbicides significantly.

From the calculation of the efficiency of the use of land allocation, efficiency values obtained amounted to 1.147 (Table 4), where the value is greater than 1. From the statistical test obtained t-value is smaller than t-table (t-value 0.28 < t-table 2.045), meaning that statistically, the value of efficiency equal to 1 so that the allocation of land in the study area average of 0.44 ha has been efficient. To achieve optimal revenues, additional allocation of arable land area could be increased to 0.5 ha (Table 4). Calculation value of VMPx/Px of the use of urea fertilizer production factor obtained by 6.261, meaning that the use of urea was highly efficient, as well as the use of herbicides is also very efficient.

#### 4. Conclusions

Based on the results of the analysis, it was concluded that production factors significant in upland rice farming were land, Urea fertilizer and herbicides. The influence of the independent variable was equal to 71.7 % of the dependent variable, and the remaining 28.3 % was explained by other variables not included in the estimation model. At the prevailing price level, the production factor of land had been stream-lined, whereas Urea fertilizer and herbicides were not efficient. Of the three factors of production that can still be improved was only land use.

## Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank to the farmers in the Bluluk village, Lamongan regency, East Java, Indonesia, who have participated in providing the necessary information in this study.

## References

- [1] Khudori. Ironi negeri beras. [The irony of rice country]. Jakarta: Insist Press; 2008. [in Bahasa Indonesia].
- [2] Laode A. Susahnya meningkatkan produksi padi. [Difficult to increase rice production]. [Online] from: <http://cetak.fajar.co.id/news.php?newsid=33864> [Accessed on 8<sup>th</sup> January 2009]. [in Bahasa Indonesia].
- [3] Toha HH. Pengembangan padi gogo mengatasi rawan pangan wilayah marginal. [Upland rice development to address food insecurity in marginal areas]. [Online] from: <http://www.litbang.pertanian.go.id/buku/Lahan-Kering-Ketahanan/BAB-III-4.pdf>. [Accessed on 8<sup>th</sup> January 2009]. [in Bahasa Indonesia].
- [4] BPS. Statistik Indonesia 2010. [Indonesian Statistik]. [Online] from: <http://www.bps.go.id> [Accessed on 12<sup>th</sup> June 2015]. [in Bahasa Indonesia].
- [5] De Datta, Surajit K. Upland rice around the world: Major research in upland rice. Los Banos: The International Rice Research Institute; 1975. p. 262.
- [6] Toha HH, Fagi MA. 1995. Budidaya tanaman pangan dan sistem usahatani konservasi di DAS Jratunseluna bagian hulu. Kinerja penelitian tanaman pangan [Food crop cultivation and conservation farming systems in DAS Jratunseluna part of hulu] 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Jakarta: Badan Litbang Pertanian; 1995. [in Bahasa Indonesia].
- [7] Haryanto TAD. Upaya peningkatan ketahanan pangan melalui pengembangan padi gogo aromatik. [Efforts to improve food security through the development of aromatic upland rice]. [Online] from: <http://cnrg.unsoed.ac.id:8080/opencms/LPM/id/download/OrasillmiahGurubesarTotokAgung.pdf> [Accessed on 9<sup>th</sup> February 2009]. [in Bahasa Indonesia].
- [8] Departemen Pertanian. Basis data pertanian. [Agriculture data base]. [Online] from: <http://database.deptan.go.id/bdsweb/bdsp2007/hasilkom.asp> 2008 [Accessed 9<sup>th</sup> February 2009, in Bahasa Indonesia].
- [9] Soekartawi. Teori ekonomi produksi dengan pokok bahasan analisa fungsi Cobb-Douglas. [Production economic theory with highlights Cobb-Douglas function analysis]. Jakarta: Raja Grafindo; 1990. [in Bahasa Indonesia]
- [10] Soekartawi. Analisis usahatani. [Farming analysis]. Jakarta: UI Press; 2006. [in Bahasa Indonesia]

- [11] Soekartawi. Pengantar agroindustri. [Introduction of agroindustry]. Jakarta: PT Raja Grafindo Persada; 2001. [in Bahasa Indonesia].
- [12] Soekartawi. Prinsip ekonomi pertanian. (Principle of economic of agriculture]. Jakarta: Rajawali Press; 2003. [in Bahasa Indonesia].
- [13] Budiono R. Kajian sistem pertanian terpadu tanaman sapi perah di Ngantang Kabupaten Malang [Plant-dairy cows integrated farming system at Ngantang, Malang district]. Yogyakarta: Fakultas Pertanian Universitas Gadjah Mada; 2011. [in Bahasa Indonesia]