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Abstract

Efficiency of using of pulverized coal injection (PCI) in blast furnace smelting depends directly on its cost price. For the preparation of PCI at Russian enterprises, mainly non-coking lean and gas coals of Kuzbass are used, which does not provide the desired reduction in costs for the production of cast-iron for JSC EVRAZ NTMK and PJSC NLMK due to high transportation costs. In this connection, the possibility of using petroleum coke and coke dust in the composition of pulverized coal for blast furnace smelting had been analyzed. Main obstacle to the use of petroleum coke is its high sulfur content. Optimal proportion of the petroleum coke in the composition of the PCI is determined, depending on the total consumption of PCI and the sulfur content in it. Experimental-industrial tests were conducted to introduce coke dust from the dry coke quenching plant into the charge of pulverized-coal fuel. Totally, petcoke and coke dust can replace up to 25% of imported coal and reduce fuel costs by 4–5%.
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1. Introduction

Usage of pulverized coal (PCI) in blast furnace smelting is a promising direction to reduce costs for the production of cast-iron and is becoming increasingly widespread [1–4]. Two main indicators determine economic efficiency of using the PCI: substitution rate and the cost of the PCI. Coefficient of replacement of coke with pulverized coal, in turn, depends on the composition of the coal mixture (ash content, sulfur content, volatiles) and the completeness of combustion of coal particles within the tuyere focus [3]. Significantly, greater impact on economic efficiency of using the PCI is its cost [4],
which consists of the purchase cost of raw materials (coals), transportation costs and the cost of preparing the PCI.

For the production of PCI often use low-ash coals, typically a mixture of 2–3 coal types [5]. For the preparation of PCI at Russian enterprises, mainly non-coking lean and gas coals of Kuzbass are used. This makes it possible to increase the proportions of coking coal in the composition of coke charge and improve the quality of coke while maintaining the volume of supplies, but does not provide the desired reduction in costs for the production of cast iron, because when blowing PUT, not only coke but also cheap natural gas is replaced. This is especially true for JSC ‘EVRAZ NTMK’ and PJSC ‘NLMK’, for which the transport component in the cost of PCI reaches 25–30%. In this regard, there is a need to work on the issue of partial replacement of coal with alternative, less expensive carbon-containing materials. An additional criterion for choosing such materials is the relative (in comparison with Kuzbass) proximity of their production. In this article, the possibility of using petroleum coke and coke dust in the composition of pulverized coal for blast furnace smelting is analyzed.

Petroleum coke is produced with delayed coking (from 24 to 40 hours), mainly heavy fractions of primary oil refining obtained in atmospheric vacuum distillation units, and cracking residues of secondary thermal processes of oil refining [6, 7]. Quality of the petroleum coke is characterized by low ash content (up to 1%), moderate volatile content (from 9 to 15%), high sulfur content (from 1.3 to 4.5%). Low ash content of the petroleum coke causes a high content of non-volatile carbon (at the level of 85–90%) and high calorific value of its combustion (∼ 8000 kcal/kg). Petroleum coke in Russia is producing at nine plants [8]. Main volume (65–70%) of the produced petroleum coke is consuming by aluminum plants for making electrodes. The rest of the petroleum coke (reduced quality) is used as fuel and has a low cost.

The largest petrocoke producers are located in the Irkutsk, Volgograd, Samara, Perm, Omsk regions, and in the Republic of Bashkortostan. Proceeding from the principle of territorial proximity, it is preferable for PJSC ‘NLMK’ to receive such a product from Volgograd or Samara region, for JSC ‘EVRAZ NTMK’ – from Perm or Ufa. When replacing part of the coals with petrocoke from Volgograd, the reduction of transportation costs for PJSC ‘NLMK’ will be about 1500 rubles/ton. The maximum decrease in transportation costs for JSC EVRAZ NTMK – about 1000 rubles/ton – will be with the use of a petroleum coke from Perm. In Perm petcoke compared with Bashkir significantly less sulfur content (2.5% versus 3.85%).

The main obstacle of using petroleum coke is its high sulfur content. However, there are known methods of desulfurization of the petroleum coke [7], allowing to remove
about 50% of sulfur from it. One of the main ways to remove sulfur is hydrogenation, which is described by the reaction:

\[ S + H_2O + C = H_2S + CO. \]  

As can be seen from this reaction, 12 units of carbon are required for 32 units of sulfur mass to be removed. The calculated composition of the desulfurized petrocoke in comparison with the composition of the initial petrocoke, as well as the quality of the PCI used at JSC ‘EVRAZ NTMK’ is presented in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of fuel</th>
<th>A (ash), %</th>
<th>V(volat.), %</th>
<th>S, %</th>
<th>C, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCI</td>
<td>7,93</td>
<td>18,07</td>
<td>0,36</td>
<td>74,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>petrocoke 1 (initial)</td>
<td>0,94</td>
<td>9,5</td>
<td>2,56</td>
<td>87,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>petrocoke 2 (Desulfurization)</td>
<td>0,98</td>
<td>8,27</td>
<td>1,32</td>
<td>89,43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculations of blast furnace smelting parameters were carried out for the conditions of the blast furnace shop of JSC ‘EVRAZ NTMK’ at a flow rate of 100 kg/ton and 70 m³/ton of natural gas consumption [9]. In the course of the calculations, the specific costs of the components of the charge, the slag yield, the chemical compositions of the smelting products, the performance of the furnaces and the cost of the cast iron were determined. In the case of the use of a desulfurized petrocoke, the cost of desulfurization is taken into account for 20% of its cost.

Calculation results showed that with an increase in the share of the oil coke in the PCI, from 0 to 30%, fuel costs, which include the sum of unit costs for coke, natural gas and PCI, are reduced by more than 3% (Figure 1). It should be noted that, despite the rise in price of petroleum coke 2 for the desulfurization operation, the difference in fuel costs is not significant. This is explained by an increase in the coefficient of replacement of coke.

Nevertheless, as the share of the petrocoke increases, the content of sulfur in cast iron increases steadily (Figure 2).

To ensure compliance with the standard of the enterprise for the sulfur content in cast iron, the optimum petrocoke consumption was determined depending on the sulfur content in it (Figure 3).

Comparing Figures 1 and 3, it can be noted that the use of the original petrocoke 1 (2.56% S) is limited to 10% in the composition of the PCI at a total flow rate of 100 kg/ton of cast iron. This allows reducing the total cost of fuel by only 1.3%. Preliminary desulfurization of the petrocoke allows it to increase its consumption to 23% in the
Figure 1: Influence of the proportion of the oil coke in the composition of the PCI on the relative costs of fuel.

Figure 2: Influence of proportion of petroleum coke in the composition of PCI on sulfur content in cast iron.

PCI, which reduces (despite the additional costs) the total fuel costs by 3%. Thus, the preliminary desulfurization of the petroleum coke should be considered expedient.

An additional measure to reduce the cost of pulverized coal is the use of a dry coke quenching plant (DCQP) in the charge for its preparation of coke dust. The quality of the coke dust differs from the coals used with an increased ash content, a much lower volatiles yield and comparable sulfur content (in terms of solid residue). The melting point of the ash of coke dust is significantly lower than that of energy coals.

Calorific value or heat of combustion of coke dust is approximately 1000 kcal/kg below any coal grades. However, this indicator does not reflect the actual heat transfer of carbon-containing materials in blast furnace smelting. The heat of combustion...
characterizes the release of heat from complete combustion reactions. A significant contribution to this value is made by the reactions of oxidation of hydrogen and saturated hydrocarbons, the total content of which in volatiles is more than 80%. Volatile is more than 0.3 m³/kg of coal, and with the reforming of hydrocarbons – more than 0.5 m³/kg of carbon in their content 14–15% of the original weight. In the same smelting blast coal gasification reactions occur at the incomplete combustion of methane and hydrogen, not only do not contribute heat, but also require substantial expansion on heating and energy costs. The results of calculating the actual heat transfer values of PCI with a different fraction of coke dust are shown in Figure 4.

Based on this preliminary analysis, pilot-industrial tests were conducted to introduce coke dust from the dry coke quenching plant as a component of the charge of pulverized-coal fuel. The proportions of DCQP dust in the mixture with coal during the
test period was 2.5–3%. According to the results of pilot industrial tests, conclusions were reached on the principle possibility of using DCQP dust in the PCI charge, as there have been no significant changes in the operation of blast furnaces, and the cost of fuel and fuel costs in blast furnace smelting has significantly decreased.

2. Summary

The expediency of using petrocoke and coke dust in the charge for the preparation of PCI is substantiated. Efficiency of using the petroleum coke is increased by preliminary desulfurization. Totally petrocoke and coke dust can replace up to 25% of imported coal and reduce fuel costs by 4–5%.
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