



Review Article

Modern Approaches to Assessing the Effectiveness of the Sanitary and Epidemiological Surveillance Service: Literature Review

Dinara Zhanabergenova¹, Zhuldyz K. Tashimova¹, Akmaral Baspakova^{1*}, Toleukhan B. Begalin¹, Aimeken A. Amanshiyeva¹, and Aizhan Alkaubayeva²

¹Department of Epidemiology, West Kazakhstan Marat Ospanov Medical University, Aktobe, 030012, Kazakhstan

²Department of Internal Diseases, Kazakh National Medical University Named After S.D. Asfendiyarov, Almaty, Kazakhstan

Abstract

Examining how the effectiveness of health and epidemiological authorities is assessed today. As it explores contemporary techniques developed by scholars in this field to monitor and control disease outbreaks effectively. However, it is not only an age-old problem that ails modern society. In just the past few years, parkinson's. Unlikelier collaborations can be found in the private and public fields of business, in academics and professionals everywhere or at gatherings between partners from two or more government institutions. Interagency cooperation. In reviewing the existing potential for academic research in this area it is important to bear in mind that various centers have unique attributes and strengths since they owe their existence largely to differences at both the trans- and international levels.

Keywords: sanitary and epidemiological surveillance, effectiveness, digitalization, monitoring, management

Corresponding Author: Akmaral M. Baspakova;
email: baspakova@zkgmu.kz

Received: 25 January 2020

Accepted: 10 March 2025

Published: 20 March 2025

Production and Hosting by
KnE Publishing

© Dinara Zhanabergenova et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited.



1. Introduction

Sanitary and epidemiological surveillance authorities' effectiveness determines the health of the public. It is also an important means for preventing diseases spreading from person to person. At present, challenges such as globalization, climate change, urban heat islands and the appearance new pathogen types all make ever greater demands for improved methods of surveillance. In recent years, digitalization has become increasingly popular: there is now widespread acceptance not only for automation of surveillance systems and introduction risk-based approaches to make more efficient use resource and allow rapid response where possible health is threatened but also that international cooperation interagency coordination be built into the design of new equipment. In addition, international cooperation and inter-agency coordination have become indispensable links for strong epidemic control measures. This paper reviews the current viewpoint of modern strategies and innovations in the field of sanitary epidemiological supervision. It also presents a snapshot of the main trends, challenges, and best practices designed to improve these authorities' effectiveness in health protection for all citizens [1].

Global health challenges from the increasing complexity means the effectiveness of the medical service must be improved by actually mad Looking at factors such as rising population density, globalization, climate change and a decline in antimicrobial resistance these new and developing public health threats are summing up. All these trends determine the direction trending towards disease surveillance, preventive medicine reforms as well as responses in terms of health care. Technological developments in recent years have altered traditional monitoring techniques for epidemiology. This change has led to a variety of new media and is making Digital tools or Artificial Intelligence in conjunction with big data analysis facilitating early detection and control of infectious disease outbreaks.

Another case study, Enterprise Strategies for Data Mining based on Risk Management points out that, it is remarkable that surveillance capacity has increased so significantly. EBRD is now using big data management technology based on risk management to give its real-time and the most comprehensive surveillance system yet [2].

2. Modern Approaches to Enhancing Surveillance Efficiency

Each way of doing is a Law Socialization of Epidemic Monitoring a vitally important characteristic of today s epidemiological monitoring lies in the way that it is done. This can change the focus:

Digitalization: The Most Important Development in the field of Epidemiological Monitoring But the greatest change is undoubtedly the wide introduction of digital technologies. Automated methods for data collection, real-time analysis and artificial intelligence (AI) now help speed up and increase the accuracy of disease detection. On digital platforms health officials can integrate information from various sources including hospital records, environmental monitoring reports and even the internet, to put together early warnings when new outbreaks begin.

Key digitalization developments include. Integrated electronic health records (EHRs): Collects patient information so that health authorities can keep track of disease patterns. Spatial Mapping in detail: Employing GIS (Geographic Information Systems) to visualize areas with infection hot spots. Artificial intelligence: Models which use statistical methods can predict future outbreaks based on present data. These technologies have shown efficacy in managing epidemics such as COVID-19, where real-time data interchange has been crucial in managing the spread of infection [3]. Elements of risk-based surveillance involve. Predictive Risk Modeling: Identifying at-risk communities on the basis of statistics. Sentinel Surveillance: Established monitoring stations in important locations to observe disease emergence. Dynamic Resource Allocation: Strategies for adapting preventive measures change with shifts in assessments of risk levels. Therefore, by focusing on high-risk factors, public health officials may be able to speed up their work and direct interventions more effectively [4]. Modern epidemiological surveillance is a key part of public health effort, and is part of our guarantee of timely discovery, prevention, elimination infectious diseases. Globalization, climate change, urbanization, emerging pathogens—under these pressures from every direction, traditional monitoring methods simply do not do the job in today's world. This means going beyond simple upgrading of existing epidemiological surveillance methods through a series of changes in strategy, with digitalization as the core. Investigational monitoring should be organized on the basis of risk assessment, and inter-departmental and international cooperation maintained; new technologies for statistical analysis are introduced. Using these methods quarantine measures can be targeted more accurately, removing for instance entire ships and leaving others untouched. Automation and digitization is one of the most significant advances in epidemiological surveillance. Through the integration of electronic medical records (EMRs), artificial intelligence (AI), geo-information systems (GIS), big data analysis, etc. we can now collect, process and interpret surveillance data in completely different ways. Real-time tracking of disease outbreaks is possible with EMRs, while GIS provides spatial analysis of how infection spreads [5]. To give just one example: AI and machine learning models are already widely used in practice for predicting potential outbreaks on the basis of historical or current data. They can draw a picture that escapes traditional surveillance methods. During the COVID-19 pandemic, automatically controlled contact-tracking systems based on mobile applications have been a key factor in controlling the spread of virus infections. This shows how digital technology will play an ever-increasing role in epidemiological monitoring in the future. Another significant step in enhancing epidemiological surveillance, for example, has been the move towards a risk-based approach. With traditional surveillance systems, large populations are generally covered, high-risk areas or vulnerable groups are rarely put at the top of list for attention and action. A risk-based approach looks for a disease to break out in places and populations where its chances are highest. To do this, early warning systems that analyze epidemiological, environmental and social factors greatly facilitate accurate prediction of new diseases emerging; they also help allocate resources more effectively. Risk-based monitoring has been introduced successfully in various countries, thanks largely to the efforts of

the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). These organizations support global surveillance systems intended to spot and counter threats to health from biological agents before they become large-scale epidemics. It is vital to strengthen epidemiological surveillance through inter-department and international cooperation. More than ever before, thanks to the interconnected and trans-national nature of modern public health challenges modern public health institutions need to collaborate healthcare organizations, research institutes, government special agencies and international organizations. Integrating surveillance combines medical, environmental, and veterinary records. This can give us a broad grasp: Epidemic dynamics. Zoonotic transmission remains an important factor in the spread of diseases on a regional and global level. Internationally, organizations such as WHO, the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) and CDC help to promote and coordinate data sharing, arrange for responses from international aid agencies, give technical advice to countries affected by epidemics. Even in the case of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), there were rapid exchanges of information about the source and means virus infection. Often this meant that experts who were experienced in responding to an epidemic crisis in their own countries lent a hand with respect to outbreak management overseas, particularly before detailed field epidemiological reports could be completed or released. The Global Outbreak Alert and Response Network (GOARN), which brings together more than 250 organizations, demonstrates how international cooperation can efficiently identify and counteract threats to Public Health from infectious disease [6]. Still, there are significant challenges facing epidemiological surveillance. In areas that have less developed infrastructure some regions lack money or equipment; meanwhile privacy and security concerns remain a barrier to effective disease monitoring. Another problem is the very fact that more and more kinds of bacteria, viruses and other pathogens are gradually gathering resistance to drugs used against them as well as antiviral medications. This raises the need for new surveillance tools which can discern trends in resistance trend while also informing policies against infections and provide for prescribing better antibiotics. Moreover, in the future the development of epidemiological surveillance may concentrate on cloud-based techniques and neural networks for further automation; health apps, telemedicine service. But whatever shape it takes, this does not spell a bright future — at least from today's perspective [7]. Existing international early warning systems need strengthening against the global threat posed by newly rare and hard-to-cure infections; and a rapid comprehensive response to public health emergencies is all the more important. Hitherto, epidemiological surveillance is gradually being improved mainly through investment in technology, changing habits and getting people to help. We must join hands – whether it's digital solutions or source control tools, predictive analytics or automated systems – if we really want to strike at the root of infectious diseases as soon as they occur, increasing our global public health diathesis. Public health authorities could create a more powerful and forward-looking surveillance system, capable of meeting contemporary epidemiology challenges by securing credits and cooperation among departments as well [8].

3. Comparative Analysis of Modern Approaches to Assessing the Effectiveness of the Sanitary and Epidemiological Surveillance Service

First of all, the sanitation and epidemic prevention and control network management is closely related to everyone's health. The Spread of racial pollution which can cause death and spread across significant distance, we have little real idea (awareness) in our minds as to just how big a problem it really is or whether it could be stopped-The obvious fact is that such language leads together various social phenomena, some of which are beneficial some deleterious. A comparison of the digital technologies, risk-based monitoring methods and standards of international best practice again reveals that everyone wins if one thing is different. In order to find areas of strength or develop strategies for improving surveillance, we must compare the different methods. One of the main ways of evaluating the effectiveness and efficiency of sanitary and epidemiological surveillance activities is through quantitative qualitative indices. In traditional terms, the number of inspections carried out, cases reported annually (sickness rates) and response time to outbreaks are considered performance indicators. But in modern terms, we insist on real-time data entry via digital platforms that enable continuous monitoring of main indicators relating to epidemics. The value of electronic health records (EHR) and automated disease reporting systems in terms of detection capacity has risen immensely from the state facility to the one-stop deal, thereby reducing both centralization and local units' time delay for detection [9]. Another popular assessment method is the risk-based model, which allocates resources based on the risk factors that have been identified. This approach contrasts with routine and widespread surveillance, which is often inefficient in terms of its resource allocations. Risk-based monitoring uses big data analytics and artificial intelligence (AI) to identify high-risk populations, areas and new trends in disease emergence. For example, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) employ predictive modeling and real-time surveillance systems to define risk and direct intervention strategies accordingly. Comparative studies have shown that this targeted approach of work improves efficiency in response and reduces final public health costs. This concept depends for practical investigation of how well a system or systems function together across borders on intergovernmental and international co-operation. A truly integrated surveillance network consists of many layers local, national and supranational, so ensuring timely sharing of epidemiological data. Some countries, for instance the United States and Germany, have well-coordinated networks involving all the ministries of health, research institutions and government bodies. On the other hand in countries with broken surveillance systems, exchange of information is slow and overall response effectiveness diminished. Surveillance programs that strive to close ranks internationally and achieve visibility across borders are exemplified by such global initiatives as the Global Outbreak Alert and Response Network (GOARN). The efficiency of such systems is often judged by how fast they can respond to international outbreaks, whether their forecasts are accurate or not, and whether interventions are successful. Another approach is to

integrate digital surveillance tools into sanitary and epidemiological control. Advanced epidemiological surveillance employs GIS (Geographic Information Systems) for real-time outbreak mapping, machine learning algorithms for predictive analytics, and telemedicine solutions for remote health monitoring. Countries with reliable digital epidemiological surveillance, such as South Korea and Singapore, have shown significantly quicker response times and more effective containment measures when dealing with outbreaks like COVID-19. Comparisons made on an international stage show that digitized systems are faster, more precise as well as use fewer historic resources than their manual counterparts [10].

Moreover, the effectiveness of sanitary and epidemiological surveillance will need to be measured by public health outcomes, such as the reduction in mortality and morbidity rates due to infectious diseases. Some countries undertake cost-effectiveness analysis to gauge economic impact of preventive measures against treatment costs. Studies indicate that proactive epidemiological control measures, including early intervention and vaccination campaigns, provide better health-care and economic outcomes than reactive ones. The above is a comparative analysis of the modern approaches to assessing the effectiveness of sanitary and epidemiological surveillance. Consequently, data analysis or risk-based assessment which takes the international perspective into account– and is co-ordinated and drowsy. AI-derived risk assessment has a bright future. Nations who use digital tools to support their preventive management and co-operate with others across international borders will see the most handling performance in disease control and prevention possible. In the next strivings to link up all of these qualifiers and perhaps more than one metric in each category together on a display screen should be implemented as soon possible [11]. In Table 1, the Criteria for Assessing the Effectiveness of the Sanitary and Epidemiological Service.

Table 1: Criteria for Assessing the Effectiveness of the Sanitary and Epidemiological Service.

| Criterion | Unit of Measurement | Importance | Application Area |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Reduction in morbidity rate | % | Key indicator of public health protection | Prevention of infectious diseases |
| Vaccination coverage | % (of the population) | Main indicator of immunization effectiveness | National immunization programs |
| Compliance with sanitary standards | Inspection results, scoring system | Crucial for disease prevention | Food, water, and public facility control |
| Public satisfaction level | % (survey results) | Indicator of service efficiency and public trust | Evaluation of healthcare services |
| Quality of infectious disease monitoring | Number of cases detected on time, % | Early detection and prevention of outbreaks | Epidemiological surveillance |
| Number of sanitary-epidemiological inspections | Number of inspections per year | Reflects service activity and efficiency | State control and supervision |
| Level of funding | Million KZT or USD | Ensures material and technical capacity | Governmental and international support |
| Implementation of new technologies | Number of new systems, % | Indicator of modernized service operations | Sanitary control and data analysis |

4. Challenges and Barriers to Implementation

Modern methods of sanitary and epidemiological surveillance bring numerous difficulties and barriers which hold back disease prevention effectiveness. These obstacles run the gamut from technical and funding constraints to administrative and regulatory problems. Removing these obstacles is the key to raising the efficiency of epidemiological surveillance and responding rapidly to public health threats. Firstly the funds and resources available are inadequate. The development and maintenance of modern surveillance systems require substantial investment, especially in low- and middle-income countries. The cost of digital health records, artificial intelligence for predictive analysis as well as real-time monitoring technology is often too prohibitive for smaller providers to afford. And many health systems struggle with a lack in trained staff to control and maintain these highly advanced surveillance tools. In the end, without substantial funding old infrastructure and manual records still impede epidemiological surveillance's effectiveness [12].

Further fragmentation and lack of coordination among units is another important barrier to overcome. Successful surveillance relies on cooperation between health facilities, public organizations, investigation units and international agencies. There is, however, much poor coordination between ministries of health and other departments in many countries, leading to delays in data exchange and reaction. Moreover, the absence of standard disease reporting protocols undermines efforts to integrate surveillance data across different regions and locations. Regardless of international initiatives such as the WHO and CDC that promote cooperation between countries, differences in regulatory systems and reporting mechanisms still preclude "smooth" interaction. Yet one more major stumbling block is the combination of technology and infrastructure. In areas with less-developed health care systems, it is common to see uncompleted medical records and a great deal of uncertainty surrounding the dissemination of information. In countries worldwide, a lack of powerful internet links means that access to cloud storage is restricted. Similarly, advanced analytical tools are difficult to come by—all essential requirements for genuine real-time epidemiological tracking. Furthermore, the use of artificial intelligence, big data analysis and Geographic Information Systems (GIS) integration all require a solid technology base. But especially resources of smaller countries are often not up to it [13].

Another important issue related to data privacy and security arises. The use of digital surveillance tools revolves on collecting and analyzing large amounts of sensitive personal health information. Many countries and agencies struggle to guarantee compliance with rules protecting personal data, such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in Europe and any number of national laws that are found throughout the world. The risk of cyber attacks and data breaches also adds complexity to implementing digital surveillance systems: unauthorized access into epidemiologic information might be misused leading to public distrust. It takes solid cybersecurity measures. Strong legal frameworks that respect people's rights, and clear communication with the public about our use of data is a way.

Overcoming these challenges requires both determination as well as openness about information practices. Resistance to change and a lack of public trust are substantial barriers to the adoption of modern epidemiological surveillance techniques. On occasion, medical staff or representatives from the public sector are unwilling to implement new technologies due to ignorance of the technical aspects, protection against job displacement and the reliability of promised outputs. Doubts regarding data privacy in digital health surveillance, particularly the presence of government controls, can also diminish the effectiveness of new systems. These questions were brought to the fore during the COVID-19 pandemic when some populations opposed digital contact tracing applications out of fear a surveillance state might develop. Awareness promotion programs, stakeholder consultation mechanisms, and transparent policies are all essential bases for winning over people [14]. With current legal and regulatory stumbling blocks, even the best modern surveillance systems are hard to put into practice. National regulations differ on sharing epidemiological information, using telemedicine, and AI in medicine. This poses problems for establishing standard global surveillance networks. Some countries have regulations that limit the collection and exchange of health data, making international cooperation more difficult. To raise the efficacy of disease monitoring and response strategies, it is necessary to harmonize regulatory systems and bring about a wider adoption of international standards for surveillance [15]. In conclusion, although modern methods of epidemiological surveillance offer many advantages, a host of obstacles financial, technical, organizational and societal, as well as legal remain. We need to have an integrated plan based on multi-level knowledge: greater investment in health equipment, cooperation internationally as well as among all departments in country government, heightening security of information (really), educating the public about privacy rights and adjusting regulations. Once these impediments have been dismantled, countries can put in place better surveillance systems, achieve earlier detection of outbreaks, and be better equipped with which to tackle emerging public health emergencies.

5. Opportunities and Future Directions

One of the most promising opportunities available today comes from advances being made in digital transformation. The adoption of big data analytics, machine learning, artificial intelligence and cloud computing make possible real-time health surveillance for better prediction and processing of diseases. The nature of modern artificial intelligence (AI) products is such that they not only deal with structured and semi-structured data, but in one sense also create unstructured information from other formats. AI-assisted surveillance systems can utilize large sets of electronic health records (EHR), social media shifts and other environmental monitoring sources to predict outbreaks before they happen. Advanced geospatial analysis tools like the Geographic Information Systems (GIS) enable health officials to map the exact spread of disease, thereby allowing them to make timely and targeted interventions [15]. The development of mobile health (mHealth) apps and wearable health monitoring devices also offers a chance to monitor

continuously individual and population-level trends in disease. Another important future direction is to enhance the global early warning system. Close collaboration and data sharing internationally is more conducive to identify and deal with outbreaks. The World Health Organization (WHO), the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), and the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) are all collaborating to build integrated networks for global surveillance. Organizing automated outbreak alert systems, supported by AI-driven data processing, can give healthcare authorities early warnings worldwide, reducing response time and containing disease spread. Standardizing data collection and reporting protocols will also lead to better connections between national and international health organizations in the future. After getting the first three gene letters of blood samples from a confirmed case, a reliable detection method for Wuhan Pneumonia outbreak is already available on a number of different platforms through AI-powered bioinformatics analysis; for example, emergency departments and primary hospitals can be linked up through inter-departmental automated alerts which enable health care workers to take action quickly when they receive notification. Timing is critical in every stage from early diagnosis to timely treatment to determining where new type outbreaks occur. Whether it be news media, social media or health monitoring feeds in hospitals is of no small consequence: all this is necessary information for 'real-time epidemiology' [16]. The future of epidemiological surveillance will also be significantly boosted by personalized and precision public health approaches. Technological progress in genomic epidemiology and metagenomics makes possible identification of new pathogens and tracking genetic mutations in microorganisms for the first time. Thus, they have already been put to practice in monitoring virus evolution of infections like SARS-CoV-2 and influenza. By constructing personalized risk assessment models that can analyze the genetic susceptibility and environmental exposure of an individual, prevention strategies can be targeted at specific populations as well controlled as possible, which in itself minimizes the impact of diseases on high-risk groups. No opportunity for digital health services and telehealth platforms, let alone telemedicine, can be described as minor. With remote diagnostics, (virtual) consultations minus an appointment, and specially-developed AI diagnostic tools, some small parts of this burden on medical care institutions will be reduced; this is particularly true in rural areas without proper healthcare. By combining telehealth technology with traditional epidemiological surveillance systems, more effective surveillance and earlier intervention can take place." In order to ensure the scalability and security of digital solutions, governments as well as health care organizations need to invest in the construction of cloud based health infrastructure. Another point is that the development of policies And regulations innovation is critical to The future of public health surveillance. Governments need to work towards harmonizing the laws governing data privacy, efforts in cyber security and wifi connectivity, and ethical standards to ensure appropriate adoption of digital health technologies that will help protect us all. A significant investment in public health (including professional training) will be necessary in order to provide our medical staffs with the skills necessary for operation and management of advanced surveillance systems; you cannot just hope everything will come out okay by itself. In future endeavors public outreach strategies should

also be pursued and used to cultivate confidence for digital health initiatives; particularly in such places that still regard data privacy with great suspicion if not outright hostility, and regard surveillance indeed as an obstacle to making its adoption [17].

6. Discussion

In order to ensure public health security and respond more effectively to threats of infectious diseases, sanitary and epidemiological surveillance systems must be modernized. Approaches that exist can be compared. On the one hand, progress in epidemiological monitoring has been significant. On the other, persistent problems get in the way of extending this success. Despite major improvements in disease monitoring efficiency due to digitalization, predictive analytics and risk-based models, the differences in infrastructure, financial status and regulatory frameworks still pose obstacles. This article discusses the main aspects of modern epidemiological surveillance—its strong points as well as its weak ones—and what can be expected for future development. One of the most significant advances in modern epidemiological surveillance has been the incorporation of digital technologies [17]. The use of artificial intelligence (AI), big data analytics, geographic information systems (GIS) and electronic health records (EHR) has transformed both how data are collected and what kinds of analyses can be performed. Countries which have successfully implemented digital surveillance systems—such as South Korea and Singapore—have shown that lead times for detection or outbreak response are shorter. The ongoing COVID-19 pandemic also tested these technologies in reality, illustrating how digital contact tracing apps and reporting systems based on automation can improve management of outbreaks. However, there are still differences; low-income countries are not able to roll out such systems nationwide because of difficulty in acquiring such technologies, which slows down their ability to implement real time monitoring [18].

Another major change in public health strategies has been risk-based epidemiological surveillance. Instead of comprehensive, resource-heavy monitoring, this now emphasizes people and locales at high risk. This makes for more focused interventions. Predictive modeling based on environmental, social and epidemiological data can optimize the quantifying and the early finding of phenomena. Furthermore, some limitations exist. Most notably, they are found in data accuracy and in the implementation of real-time collection methods. Some regions have data that is collected heterogeneously. There is a lack of standard reporting systems across Various places and this can lead to surveillance holes, impacting the overall response effectiveness [19].

Another key feature of today's surveillance is global partnership and data sharing. As it is set up to address its objectives, international bodies such as the World Health Organization (WHO), the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID)-Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), and the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) have built up unique

resources of capacity. Initiatives such as the Global Outbreak Alert and Response Network (GOARN) have increased interaction between countries, facilitating speedy responses to new health threats. However, geopolitics, regulatory discrepancies, and privacy considerations often obstruct the free flow of information. Solving these obstacles will require stronger harmonization of international policies as well as the development secure and standardized data-sharing platforms that meet national and international rules. In spite of these technological and strategic advances, there are still several critical issues to be addressed. Many countries, because of inadequate funding or resource allocation, are unable to modernize their surveillance infrastructure fully. In fact, digital surveillance tools remained unpopular as some people balked at giving their personal information leaf-cutter technology companies with access to every single recorded movement and communication online. Indigenous peoples are extremely distrustful of government-supplied health monitoring applications, so much so that they will only use them in exceptional circumstances. Transparent governance mechanisms, robust cybersecurity frameworks, and public awareness campaigns are critical to establishing confidence and acceptance for new surveillance technologies. Looking ahead, the future of epidemiological surveillance depends on continuing technological innovation, consistent public health policy and a global alliance International Cooperation [20]. The extension of AI-driven analytics and the enhancement of early warning systems will improve even further Disease monitoring and response capabilities. Moreover, with the integration of telemedicine solutions, whole new levels Coordinated care across time zones are possible. Training people with the skills they need to run and manage advanced surveillance systems is essential for building capacity and workforces.

7. Conclusion

Modernization of sanitary and epidemiological control has greatly enhanced the ability of national and international public health systems to discover, follow up and respond to infectious diseases. There are still obstacles however despite these advantages: insufficient funds; uneven use among different regions; not all digital tools can be purchased and regulated; and the public's current reliance on manual paper-based methods. From a comparative perspective, it is clear that countries that employ AI, big data analytics real-time reporting all tend to have more effective infectious disease control strategies; however the hat can be a double-edged sword, if not handled properly. Predictive modeling and early warning systems have proven helpful for anticipating outbreaks and containing their effects. Also, interagency and international cooperation is particularly important. Concerted action is needed to combat global challenges. Improving public health safety will depend on strengthening global data-sharing mechanisms and standardizing surveillance protocols. To this end, efforts should be concentrated on expanding digital epidemiological infrastructures, enhancing predictive analyses and fostering overall international collaboration. Policymakers must also direct their energies toward cybersecurity, data privacy regulations,

and public trust-building policies for more successful implementation of modern surveillance tools. At the same time, increasing capacity and training manpower from the ground up will further promote the adoption of advanced technologies and improve overall surveillance efficiency. So while progress has undoubtedly been made, we must continue to innovate, invest and collaborate if existing problems are to be met head on and a proactive rather than reactive stand taken in epidemiological surveillance. With emerging technologies and a stronger worldwide network of collaboration, health authorities can create more resilient, adaptive and efficient methods for surveillance; can ultimately achieve better disease prevention; and have our entire world be a healthier place than it has ever been in history.

Funding

None

Conflicts of Interest

None declared

Author Contributions

All authors fulfill the ICMJE authorship criteria and assume full responsibility for the integrity and accuracy of every aspect of the work.

Disclaimer

No portion of this review has been duplicated or published elsewhere, either fully or partially, in any language.

References

- [1] Drexler, M. and M. Institute of, in What You Need to Know About Infectious Disease. 2010, National Academies Press (US)
- [2] Jia, P., S. Liu, and S. Yang, Innovations in Public Health Surveillance for Emerging Infections. *Annu Rev Public Health*, 2023. 44: p. 55-74.
- [3] Mesquita, S., et al., Epidemiological methods in transition: Minimizing biases in classical and digital approaches. *PLOS Digit Health*, 2025. 4(1): p. e0000670.

- [4] Institute of Medicine Forum on Microbial, T., The National Academies Collection: Reports funded by National Institutes of Health, in Global Infectious Disease Surveillance and Detection: Assessing the Challenges—Finding Solutions, Workshop Summary. 2007, National Academies Press (US)
- [5] Nova, N., et al., Global Change and Emerging Infectious Diseases. *Annu Rev Resour Economics*, 2022. 14: p. 333-354.
- [6] Southall, E., et al., Early warning signals of infectious disease transitions: a review. *J R Soc Interface*, 2021. 18(182): p. 20210555.
- [7] Salam, M.A., et al., Antimicrobial Resistance: A Growing Serious Threat for Global Public Health. *Healthcare (Basel)*, 2023. 11(13).
- [8] Ward, H., et al., Public health: surveillance, infection prevention, and control. *Handb Clin Neurol*, 2018. 153: p. 473-484.
- [9] Modi, S. and S.S. Feldman, The Value of Electronic Health Records Since the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act: Systematic Review. *JMIR Med Inform*, 2022. 10(9): p. e37283.
- [10] Holland Brown, T.M. and M. Bewick, Digital health education: the need for a digitally ready workforce. *Arch Dis Child Educ Pract Ed*, 2023. 108(3): p. 214-217.
- [11] Agnello, D.M., et al., Co-creation methods for public health research - characteristics, benefits, and challenges: a Health CASCADE scoping review. *BMC Med Res Methodol*, 2025. 25(1): p. 60.
- [12] Jiang, F., et al., Artificial intelligence in healthcare: past, present and future. *Stroke Vasc Neurol*, 2017. 2(4): p. 230-243.
- [13] Ling-Hu, T., et al., Challenges and Opportunities for Global Genomic Surveillance Strategies in the COVID-19 Era. *Viruses*, 2022. 14(11).
- [14] Filkins, B.L., et al., Privacy and security in the era of digital health: what should translational researchers know and do about it? *Am J Transl Res*, 2016. 8(3): p. 1560-80.
- [15] Gelband, H., et al., Costs, affordability, and feasibility of an essential package of cancer control interventions in low-income and middle-income countries: key messages from Disease Control Priorities, 3rd edition. *Lancet*, 2016. 387(10033): p. 2133-2144.
- [16] Carter, L.L., et al., Global genomic surveillance strategy for pathogens with pandemic and epidemic potential 2022-2032. *Bull World Health Organ*, 2022. 100(4): p. 239-239a.
- [17] Haleem, A., et al., Telemedicine for healthcare: Capabilities, features, barriers, and applications. *Sens Int*, 2021. 2: p. 100117.
- [18] Peabody, J., et al., Quality of Care, in Disease Control Priorities: Improving Health and Reducing Poverty, D.T. Jamison, et al., Editors. 2017, The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank
- [19] Aiello, A.E., A. Renson, and P.N. Zivich, Social Media- and Internet-Based Disease Surveillance for Public Health. *Annu Rev Public Health*, 2020. 41: p. 101-118.

- [20] Tamburro, M. and G. Ripabelli, High Resolution Melting as a rapid, reliable, accurate and cost-effective emerging tool for genotyping pathogenic bacteria and enhancing molecular epidemiological surveillance: a comprehensive review of the literature. *Ann Ig*, 2017. 29(4): p. 293-316.