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Abstract

Background: Television (TV) viewing and computer (PC) use have been associated with poor health outcomes.

Aim: To investigate the association of TV viewing and PC use with recreational physical activity energy expenditure (RPAEE), obesity indices, physical activity levels (PAL) and body fat percentage (BF%) of adult women.

Methods: Bodyweight (BW), height, waist (WC) and hip (HC) circumferences of 150 adult women were measured. Body mass index (BMI) and waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) were calculated. The BF% was measured by bioelectrical impedance analysis. The Athens Physical Activity Questionnaire was used to assess PAL, RPAE, TV viewing and PC hours.

Results: The majority of women (53%) were overweight and obese, watched TV for >14 hr/wk (59%), and almost half (47%) of them used computers for >1 hr/day. Slightly more than half of them (54%) had a sedentary lifestyle and reported exercise participation for <2 hr/wk. No walking for leisure was reported by one-third of the subjects, while an alarming low percentage (0.7%) walked only 2.5 hr/wk. No participation in informal physical activity was reported by 69%. RPAEE was estimated at 982 ± 973 kcal/wk and negatively correlated to TV-watching hours (r = –0.31, p < 0.05), computer use (r = –0.3, p < 0.05), BMI (r = –0.44, p < 0.01), BW (r = –0.44, p < 0.01), WC (r = –0.41, p < 0.01), WHR (r = –0.31, p = 0.01). Moreover, RPAEE and BF% were negatively correlated (r = –0.44, p < 0.01). BF% was associated with long hours of PC use and TV watching (R² = 0.11, F₁,₁₄₈ = 17.94, p = 0.00; R² = 0.14, F₁,₁₄₈ = 5.4, p = 0.002).

Conclusion: Screen use affecting obesity indices seemed to overrun recreational time for physical activity participation and dominate women’s lifestyle. Further research targeting behavioral change practices is recommended.
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1. Introduction

Studies have shown that physical inactivity is strongly associated with poor health outcomes. Leisure-time physical activity seems to decline by age and sedentary behavior has seemingly increased in the European Union [1]. Furthermore, sex is claimed to affect these figures as women are reportedly more inactive than men and more vulnerable to becoming overweight and obese [2, 3].

Obesity is a major health problem affecting men and especially women aged 15–49 years [4–6]. Studies have shown that variable lifestyle factors such as computer (PC) use, television (TV) viewing and physical inactivity are causally related to obesity risk factors including BMI, body fat percentage (BF%), waist circumference (WC), and waist-to-hip ratio (WHR), [7,8,9].

Sedentary behavior may be a critical determinant of health, independent of physical activity [10, 11]. Sedentary lifestyle and physical inactivity are common practices worldwide and are associated with a wide range of chronic diseases and premature death [12, 13]. Although inactivity is known to be harmful, almost one-third of the world's population remains inactive, posing a great risk for public health [14].

The rapid expansion in the use of screen devices contributes to adopting sedentary behavior which leads to the unproductive use of leisure time [15]. Especially, TV viewing adds to the sedentary life of women as they do not participate in exercise on a daily basis, thereby increasing their sitting hours and devoting little time to recreational physical activities. This has an impact on obesity indices and increases the risk of noncommunicable diseases such as obesity, metabolic syndrome, diabetes and cardiovascular disease [5, 14]. Additionally, it has been shown to affect eating habits by rising consumption of food with high glycemic index and fat content. Therefore, the daily calorie intake is increased while energy expenditure is decreased, which is more likely to develop health issues in the future [16, 17].

On the other hand, physical activity and exercise are associated with multiple physical and mental health benefits [18, 19], playing a vital role in weight management and improving the level of fasting blood glucose and lipid profile [8]. Consequently, factors which influence women's daily lives are related to eating habits, TV viewing, playing video games and physical activities levels (PAL) [20].

The aim of this study was to investigate the association of PC use and TV-watching time with recreational physical activity energy expenditure (RPAEE), obesity indices, PAL and the effect of screen viewing time on BF% of adult women.
2. Methods

2.1. Participants

One hundred and fifty randomly chosen female subjects, aged 31 to 60 years voluntarily participated in the study. Women who took part in the study gave their written consent prior to participation, in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration. Approval was given by the Scientific Council of 424 General Military Hospital of Thessaloniki. The exclusion criteria were: women aged <65 years, pregnant and lactating, diagnosed with cardiovascular, metabolic, gastrointestinal, mental diseases, active systemic infection, orthopedic-neuromuscular problems and undergoing diet treatment for weight loss, dyslipidemia, hypertension and impaired glucose tolerance.

2.2. Procedure

Participants were asked to complete a demographic questionnaire. The Athens Physical Activity Questionnaire (APAQ) was also used to assess the PAL [21]. APAQ is a self-administered questionnaire that records data on physical activity of the previous seven days. The questionnaire asks for three classifications of physical activities: occupational, activities at home and recreational activities; respondents are asked to report their walking habits pertaining to the different parts of their daily life (during working hours, while commuting to work and walking for leisure). In order to estimate the total energy expenditure, APAQ requires sleeping and sedentary behaviors such as TV viewing and PC use to be recorded. Additionally, APAQ estimates RPAEE weekly (kcal/wk), by recording leisure-time physical activities (such as walking, dancing, sitting or standing in a theatre, bar and exercise participation), and PAL. Further, based on the BMI, participants were classified into three categories (normal weight, overweight, and obese). Prevalence of obesity was estimated by BMI values.

2.3. Anthropometric assessment

Weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using a digital scale and wearing light clothing, while height was measured to the nearest 0.5 cm using a stadiometer at Franfort Horizontal Plane position without shoes. Body Mass Index (BMI) was calculated by Quetelet equation. WC and hip circumference (HC) were measured with a non-expanded tape and WHR was calculated. The BF% was measured by a portable body composition analyzer of single frequency, using bioelectrical impedance method.
### Table 1: Descriptive characteristics: Mean ± standard deviation (SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC (cm)</td>
<td>108.1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF (%)</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPAEE (kcal/wk)</td>
<td>982</td>
<td>973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV watching (hr/day)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer use (PC) (hr/day)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2.4. Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS v.23 for Windows. Descriptive and conductive statistics were applied in investigating the association of TV viewing and PC use with obesity indices and leisure-time physical activity (recorded and estimated as RPAEE).

Continuous variables were presented as means ± standard deviations and categorical ones as absolute and relative frequencies. Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to check the distribution of quantitative variables. The level of statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$. Weekly RPAEE and PSL were calculated by APAQ. In addition, participants were classified into three categories (normal weight, overweight and obese) in accordance with the BMI outcome. Regarding conductive statistics, Pearson correlation and stepwise regression were used to estimate the impact of hours spent on TV viewing and PC use on RPAEE and obesity indices (BMI, WC, waist-to-hip ratio, BF%).

#### 3. Results

Table 1 reports the descriptive characteristics of study participants.

Frequency analysis showed that 58.7% of the women watched TV for $\geq$ 2 hr/day and 47% of them used PC for $\geq$ 1 hr/day (Graphs 1 & 2, respectively).

Additionally, 37% of the participants reported no walking for leisure, 15.33% walked for 2 hr and only 0.7% walked for 2.5 hr/wk. An intriguing percentage (69%) of our sample did not participate in any informal physical activity while only 9.3% and 8.7% reported participation in an organized form of physical activity for 2 and 3 hr/wk, respectively. Moreover, 47% of the women had a normal BMI, 33% were overweight and 20% obese. RPAEE was estimated at 982 ± 973 kcal/wk and had a significantly negative as well as
weak correlation with TV watching hours ($r = -0.31, N = 150, p < 0.05$), PC use ($r = -0.3, N = 150, p < 0.05$); moderate with BMI ($r = -0.44, N = 150, p < 0.01$), bodyweight (BW) ($r = -0.44, N = 150, p < 0.01$), WC ($r = -0.41, N = 150, p < 0.01$); and weak with WHR ($r = -0.31, N = 150, p = 0.01$). There was also a significant moderate negative correlation between RPAEE and BF% ($r = -0.44, N = 150, p < 0.01$). Age had a significantly negative and weak correlation with PAL and RPAEE ($r = -0.3, N = 150, p < 0.05$; $r = -0.31, N = 150, p < 0.01$).

The effect of TV-viewing and PC-use hours on BF% (dependent variable) was examined further by stepwise regression analysis which showed that TV viewing hours and PC use were significantly and positively associated with BF% ($R^2 = 0.11$, $F_{1,148} = 17.94$ and $R^2 = 0.14$, $F_{1,148} = 5.4$, $p = 0.002$, respectively). Particularly, the variable “hours of PC use” was inserted first, which is responsible for 11% of the variance in the BF% ($R^2 = 0.11$, $F_{1,148} = 17.94$, $p = 0.00$). TV viewing hours were then added and are responsible
**Table 2:** Correlation of hours of TV viewing and computer use with obesity indices and recreational-time physical activity energy expenditure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bodyweight</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL</td>
<td>-0.3*</td>
<td>-0.24**</td>
<td>-25**</td>
<td>-26**</td>
<td>-0.24*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV hr/day</td>
<td>0.2*</td>
<td>0.42*</td>
<td>0.34**</td>
<td>0.44**</td>
<td>-0.33*</td>
<td>-0.35**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer use (hr/day)</td>
<td>-0.45**</td>
<td>0.45*</td>
<td>0.35**</td>
<td>0.45**</td>
<td>0.244**</td>
<td>-0.2**</td>
<td>-0.2**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat%</td>
<td>0.51*</td>
<td>0.8**</td>
<td>0.84**</td>
<td>0.84*</td>
<td>0.56**</td>
<td>-0.31*</td>
<td>0.40**</td>
<td>0.42**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPAEE (kcal/wk)</td>
<td>-0.31*</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
<td>-0.44**</td>
<td>-0.41**</td>
<td>-0.31*</td>
<td>0.43*</td>
<td>-0.31*</td>
<td>-0.3*</td>
<td>-0.44*</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed) * Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)**

**Table 3:** Impact of TV viewing and computer use on body fat percentage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Multiple R</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Standard Error b</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Significance of t</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Computer use (hours)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV viewing (hr)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

for an additional 3% of the variance ($R^2 = 0.14$, $F_{1,148} = 5.4$, $p = 0.02$). Therefore, a higher BF% was associated with the higher hours of PC use and of TV watching (Table 3).

**4. Discussion**

Physical inactivity is generally more prevalent among women than men while greater rates have been observed in middle-aged adults [3, 22, 23]. Sedentary and obesogenic behaviors like TV watching and/or PC use are significantly associated with a higher risk of obesity, metabolic syndrome and type-2 diabetes. On the contrary, even light activities like brisk walking have been related to a significantly lower risk of obesity and diabetes [5, 24, 25].

The aim of this study was to investigate the association of PC use and TV watching time with the RPAEE, obesity indices, the PAL and how screen use affects the BF% of adult women.

The findings of the present work are consistent with the outcomes of previous investigations. More specifically, 59% of the adult female participants reported that they watched TV for $\geq 14$ hr/wk and 47% of them used PC for $\geq 1$ hr/day. On the other
hand, the estimated mean PAL was 1.41 ± 0.23. Moreover, 47% of the women were found to have a normal BMI, 33% were overweight and 20% obese. The mean BF% was 34.5% for a given mean age of 44 years and BMI of 26.5 kg/m², which is higher than the predicted BF% for Caucasian women of similar age range and having similar BMI [26, 27]. Hassapidou et al. (2013) reported that 38% of the adult female population in their study watched TV for ≥16 hr/wk, while 90% of them reported exercise participation for <2 hr/wk [28]. Furthermore, the prevalence of obesity in women was 35.5%. Leisure time spent on TV watching and other sedentary behaviors promoted by screen use has been directly associated with obesity indices such as BMI, WC and WHR, lowering metabolic rate due to the decreasing physical activity and increasing calorie intake [11, 23, 28, 29, 30]. Furthermore, the frequency of watching TV at least once a week was associated with the likelihood of obesity risk independent of the area they lived in compared to ones who did not watch TV [5].

In the second part of our study, we examined the association of PC use and TV watching time with obesity indices. The results showed that TV viewing and PC-use time had a significantly positive correlation with BMI, WC, WHR and BF%. Additionally, the higher BF% was associated with the highest hours of PC use and the highest hours of TV watching. The time spent in front of a TV screen has been positively associated with the likelihood of generating metabolic syndrome in adult women irrespective of their PAL [31].

The majority of the participants in our study had a sedentary lifestyle as they reported exercise participation of <2 hr/wk, 37% of them reported no walking for leisure, 15% walked for 2 hr and only 0.7% for 2.5 hr/wk. Almost 70% of our sample never participated in an organized form of physical activity, while only 9% reported participation in an organized form of physical activity for 2–3 hr/wk. There was also a significant moderate negative correlation between RPAEE and BF%, BMI, WC. TV viewing hours and PC use had a negative weak correlation. The mean RPAEE in our study was 980 kcal/wk while the American College of Sports Medicine recommends a leisure-time physical activity expenditure for maintenance and weight loss as ~1200–2000 kcal/wk [32, 33].

5. Limitations

The results of the present study cannot be generalized as the sample size was small. We also did not evaluate other factors influencing sedentary lifestyle such as sleeping duration or the socioeconomic status of the participants.
6. Conclusion

Participating in any form of physical activity, even walking for leisure, promotes a healthy BW and has mental benefits. On the contrary, sitting time is a structural factor for an obesogenic environment. According to the findings of this study, time spent on TV viewing and PC use was associated with greater BF%, BMI, WC, WHR and lower PAL. The majority of women in our sample appeared to dedicate many hours to sedentary habits related to screen use, whereas only a few showed interest in increasing their physical activity levels. Using the screen overruns free time for recreational physical activity and results in the rise of sitting time, leading to the reduction of physical activity energy expenditure. Consequently, obesity indices are affected and contribute to an increasing obesity risk. These data, although cannot be generalized, reinforce outcomes of previous studies pointing to the need of further research on motivating the female population to alter environmental factors through the implementation of prevention programs targeting behavioral change practices.
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