

Conference Peer Review Guide

KnE Publishing working with Knowledge E

Paper Title: _____

Reviewer Name: _____

Value of Research:

Is there enough new and original research to warrant publication?

Are the paper's arguments scientifically sound?

Does the paper display academic rigour?

Do the references conform to international academic standards?

Does the paper conform to international academic ethics policies (where appropriate)?

Does the Abstract adequately contextualise the research within the field, properly summarise the contents of the paper, and convey the relevance / value of this paper?

Does the paper make a measurable contribution to the academic field?

Is the impact of this paper likely to be high?

Quality of research:

Excellent

Good

Moderate

Poor

Recommendation:

Accept, no revisions needed

Accept, revisions required (see below comments)

Reject, limited value of research (see below comments)

Reject, fails to meet international academic standards for written English and referencing

Reviewer Comments: